IISTE

Corporate Culture: A Tool for Control and Effectiveness in Organizations.

Amah, Edwinah[1*] , Daminabo-Weje, Mildred.[2]

1.Dept of Management, Faculty of Management Sciences, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt

2.Dept of Management, School of Management Sciences, Rivers State College of Arts and Science, Port Harcourt.

*edwinah4christ@gmail.com

Abstract

Managers desire an alternative control system that is reliable for the achievement of effectiveness in the organizations. Organizations need to be productive, profitable and increase their market share even with the challenge of coping with changes in the environment. The need to achieve their goals has made managers seek for cultural means of motivating employees to be productive. The paper reviews literature on how corporate culture can be used to control and ensure organizational effectiveness. The paper concludes that the success of organizations to a very large extent depends on their cultures. The paper recommends that managers should put in place an adaptive culture that encourages the involvement of employees as this could enhance their responsiveness and commitment to the achievement of the organizational goals. Corporate Culture takes care of the need of close supervision as all hands are on deck to achieve the organization's goal. The paper also recommends that the managers should share the mission of the organization with employees as it could increase their involvement. Finally the paper recommends that the values of the organization be shared as well to serve as an informal control measure that can be very effective**.**

Key words: Corporate culture, Organizational effectiveness, Employee involvement, Employee control.

1.   Introduction

Organizations need to be productive, profitable and increase their market share even with the challenge of coping with changes in the environment. The need to achieve their goals has made managers seek for cultural means of motivating employees to be productive. Whereas structure is important in defining individual responsibilities within the workflow process, a congruent culture ensures that individuals carry out these responsibilities with minimum resistance. More importantly, strong culture dictates the way things should be done and creates expectations shared by group members, which are not outlined explicitly by formal structure. Corporate culture relates to goals that should be pursued and standard of behaviour that should be maintained by employees as they pursue those goals.

Several researches on how to optimize performance have taken place in the past two decades. It has been argued that strategic group membership and associated collective behaviours are the primary sources of durable differences in firm profitability and organization effectiveness (Caves and Porter, 1977). This implies that the collective behaviour of organization members which culture helps to control is important to its effectiveness. In relation to this argument, Glasister and Buckley (1998) identified corporate culture as one of the factors responsible for organizational effectiveness.   A strong corporate culture (that is, one in which everyone understands and believe in the firm's goal, priorities and practices) that encourages the participation and improvement of all organization's members has been identified to be one of its most important assets (Denison, 2007). Corporate culture has been cited as an explanation for the differences in productivity among American firms, and differences in productivity between American and Japanese companies (Peters and Waterman, 1982; Denison, 1985). Superior Japanese productivity has been consistently attributed in part, to better organization of work, consensus decision making, and an elusive quality called the effective management of `human resources (Denison, 2007).

The hypothesis that strong cultures enhance firm performance is based on the intuitively powerful idea that organizations benefit from highly motivated employees dedicated to common goals (Peters and Waterman, 1982; Denison 1984; 1990; Denison & Mishra 1995; Deal and Kennedy, 2000; Sorensen 2002; Kotter and Heskett, 2011). In support of this argument, quantitative analysis has shown that firms with strong culture outperform firms with weak culture (Kotter and Heskett, 2011; Gordon and DiTomaso, 1992, Denison, 2007). Sorensen (2002) showed that the relationship between cultural strength and performance reliability depends on how strong culture firms learn from and respond to their own experiences and changes in their environment. The result shows that in relatively stable environments, strong-culture firms have more reliable performance. However in volatile environments, the reliability benefits disappear. Culture is obviously a complex phenomenon, and its influence within an organization is ubiquitous (Cheah and Garvin, 2004).

Over the past decade, a great deal has been written about corporate culture and the important role it plays

in successful performance of organizations, (Peters and Waterman, 1982; Denison, 1985 DiTomaso, 1992, Chatman, 1998, Christensen, 1999, Deal and Kennedy, 2000, Denison, 2007, Kotter and Heskett, 2011, Amah 2012). By exploring the effect of corporate culture on control and performance optimization, organizations can develop stronger adaptive cultures that can enhance their competitive advantage and effectiveness.

2.   Theoretical Background

The origin of culture as an independent variable affecting an employee's attitudes and behaviour can be traced back more than 50 years ago to the notion of institutionalization (Hammonds, 2000, Judge, 2000). Institutionalization operates to produce common understanding among members about what is appropriate and fundamentally meaningful behaviour (Hall, 1987). Organizations as institutions tend to have acceptable modes of behaviour that are largely self-evident. Culture is an important force determining managerial attitudes and practices, and does influence the practice of management (Ukaegbu, 2001). Cultural differences may often affect management expectations and styles. Coping with other cultures and trying to understand why and how culture influences behaviour is one of the most crucial issues facing management.   The impact of culture in organizations is becoming increasingly important. Effect can be positive, as evidenced in the cases of Wal-Mart, UPS, and South-West Airlines. Employees of South West airlines for example, actually accept lower wages than their industry counterparts in order to be part of the 'fun' working environment created by South West's people Department Motto: Hire for Attitude, Train for Skills. Cultures of obscurity and distrust, however, can have a negative effect on organization performance such as recently observed at Enron and WorldCom.

Although organizational culture had been the subject of social science research for some time (Pettigrew, 1979), the publication of In Search of Excellence (Peters and Waterman, 1982) called forth the intense concern of industrial leaders and managers. Subsequently, much research has indicated that organizations within an industry share distinct cultural values (Spender, 1989) and that culture performance relationship is far from universal (Denison, 1990, 2007; Denison and Mishra, 1995; Gordon and DiTomaso, 1992; Kotter and Heskett, 2011). In order to achieve their goals, organizations are driven by their own kind of culture known as 'corporate culture', which has significant influence on member's attitudes and behaviours. Bateman and Snell (1999) observed that a company's culture provides a framework that organizes and directs people's behaviour on the job. Corporate culture impact individual behaviour on what it takes to be in good standing and directs the appropriate behaviour for each circumstance. Culture is an essential quality of excellent organizations (Peters and Waterman, 1982; Amah, 2010). Culture is viewed as the organization's DNA (Deoxyribonucleic Acid) – invisible to the naked eye yet powerful template that shapes what happens in the workplace (Davenport 1998). Corporate culture has been defined as "the way things get done around here" (Deal and Kennedy, 2000). This implies that the culture of one organization can differ from another even in the same industry. Schein (1985) defined corporate culture as

> "The pattern of basic assumptions that a given group has invented, discovered or developed in learning to cope with its problems of external adaptation and integration that have worked well enough to be considered valid, and therefore to be taught to new members as the correct way to perceive, think and feel in relation to those problems".

Based on this definition culture tends to serve two critical functions in organizations; (1) to integrate members so that they know how to relate to one another and (2) to help the organization adapt to the external environment. Internal integration refers to the collective identity members develop that enable them work together effectively. External adaptation refers to how the organization meets its goals and deals with outsiders. Culture helps guide daily activities of workers to meet certain goals. It enables organizations respond rapidly to customers' need or the moves of a competitor. Nickels et al (2011) further defined corporate culture as widely shared values within an organization that provide coherence and cooperation to achieve goals. This means that corporate culture glues employees together and also enable them cooperate towards the achievement of organizational goals. Corporate culture has also been defined as the set of values, guiding beliefs, understandings, and ways of thinking that is shared by members of an organization and is taught to new members as correct" (Duncan, 1989). This implies that culture is learned and not genetically inherited. The learning process tends to go on unconsciously making culture pass from one generation to another unnoticed (McShane and Von-Glinow, 2006). It suggests that culture can be changed if the dynamics of learning process are known. The underlying values may include ethical behaviour, commitment to employees, efficiency or customer service. Hills and Jones (2003) defined corporate culture as the "specific collection of values and norms that are shared by people and groups in an organization and that control the way they interact with each other and with stakeholders outside the organization".

Most authors also agree that corporate culture refers to the set of values, beliefs and behaviour patterns that form the core identity of an organization. Based on the definitions above, one can safely say that corporate

culture refers to predominant system of beliefs, values and norms, held by members of an organization, which is passed on to new comers. Culture seems to determine things like loyalty and commitment, how employees work and how far they are prepared to take risks. It is also the organizationally induced collective 'mental programming which all members of the organization share (Ahiauzu, 1999). McShane and Von Glinow (2006) described corporate culture as an automatic pilot that directs employees in ways that are consistent with organizational expectations. It can be regarded as a deeply embedded form of social control that guides employee's decisions and behaviour so that they are consistent with the organization's success. This means that organizations with a strong culture that is directed to the market place may not need policy manuals organizational charts, detailed procedures and rules to succeed. In such organizations, people way down the line know what they are supposed to do in most situations because the handful of guiding values is crystal clear (Peters and Waterman, 1982). Employee's actions are rooted in their company's culture. Corporate culture tends to provide a less expensive alternative to the old command-and-control system of direct supervision that is incompatible with today's more independently minded workforce. Corporate culture therefore tends to enhance management in coordinating and integrating people with diverse personal and cultural value systems in the workplace.

Employees are motivated to internalize the organization's dominant culture because it fulfils their need for social identity. It tends to enable organizations to attract new staff and retain top performers. Corporate culture tends to help employees understand organizational events. It makes them get on with their tasks rather than spend time trying to figure out what is expected of them. It enables employees communicate freely and efficiently and reach higher level of cooperation with one another because they share common mental models of reality (Mcshane and Von Glinow, 2006). The stable nature of culture makes it possible for one to distinguish one culture from the other. Culture tends to carry with it a momentum, which guides and patterns change.

2.1 *Culture's Functions of Organizations*

Culture performs a number of functions within an organization. First, it has a boundary-defining role; that is, it differentiates one organization from the other (McShane and Von Glinow, 2006). It creates distinction between one organization and others. Second, it conveys a sense of identity for organization members (Timmerman, 1996). Individuals have a sense of belonging to the organization. Third, culture facilitates the generation of commitment to something larger than one's individual self-interest (Weiner, 1998). Culture generates agreement among individuals about the organization's core values. Fourth, it enhances the stability of the social system (Robbins, 2003). It defines the rules of the game (Deal and Kennedy, 2000).

3.   Corporate Culture and Employee Control

The role of culture influencing employees behaviour seem to be increasingly important in the work-place today as pointed out by Case (1996). The shared meaning provided by a strong corporate culture tend to ensure that employees are pointed in the right direction in organizations which have wide span of control, flattened structures, introduced teams, reduced formalization, and empowered employees. Organizations use strong culture, supported by formal rules and regulations to ensure that employees act in a relatively uniform and predictable way (Robbins, 2003). Culture enhances organizational commitment and increases the consistency of employee's behaviour. It reduces ambiguity for employees. It tells employees how things are done and what's important. Corporate culture is expressed in behaviours, for example, cleanliness, helpfulness and friendliness at Disneyland; quality, service, cleanliness and value at McDonalds (Nickels *et al*, 2011). These behaviours lead to successful operations. The very best organizations have cultures that emphasize service to others, especially customers (Bateman and Snell 1999). Such companies stress high moral and ethical values such as honesty, reliability, fairness, environmental protection and social involvement (Nickels *et al*, 2011). The atmosphere is one of friendly, concerned, caring people who enjoy working together to provide a good product at a reasonable price. Organizations that have such cultures have less need for close supervision of employees, not to mention policy manuals, organization charts, and formal rules, procedures and controls. (Nickel *et al*, 2011). This implies that good organizational leaders create a culture that emphasizes cooperation and joy in serving customers, and that culture results in self-motivated employees who need minimal supervision. Nickels et al (2011) argue that with in such atmosphere self-managed teams can develop and flourish.

Values are important because they lay the foundation for the understanding of attitudes and motivation. They also influence our perception. Values cloud objectivity and rationality (Robbins, 2003). Values tend to generally influence attitudes and behaviours. Cultural values represent the dominant prescriptions of a society; usually influenced by religious, philosophical, and political ideologies. (McShane and Von Glinow, 2006). Our personal values include cultural values as well as other values socialized by parents, friends, and personal life events. Organizational values are widely and deeply shared by people within the organization (Meglino and Ravlin, 1998; Agle and Caldwell, 1999). They include a concern for employees, for customers, and for the quality of the company's products (Nickels et al, 2011). This means that organization's goal also defines to a large extent the

values of the organization.

Organizational values are important because they represent a challenge to management. Managers are looking for ways to integrate or at least coordinate people with diverse personal and cultural value systems. Globalization has raise awareness of and sensitivity to differences in values across cultures. Organizations depend on individuals to make decisions and take actions that achieve corporate objectives. Global organizations face the challenge of aligning people with different diverse values towards a common set of goals, including consistent decisions and actions around the world. But aligning everyone's values may lead to conflict due to differences in personal, organizational and cultural values (Chatterjee and Pearson, 2000). Organizational values present a subtle, yet potentially effective alternative to the old expensive command-and-control system of direct supervision, which is incompatible with today's more independently, minded workforce (McShane and Von Glinow, 2006). Employees whose values are consistent with the organization's values are easier to manage. Values tend to represent the unseen magnet that pulls employees in the same direction. Values foster a common bond and help ensure that organization members pull in the same direction, irrespective of their tasks and ranks (Fenwick et al, 1999, McCune, 1999, Begley, 2000). Ethical values enable the organization leaders to determine the right thing to do. Ethical behaviour is driven by the moral principles we use to make decisions, which are essentially fundamental values. Managers consistently report that the action of their bosses is the most important factor influencing ethical and unethical behaviour in their organizations (Posner and Schmidt, 1992). This means that the values of middle and upper management have significant bearing on the entire climate within an organization. For instance, nearly two-thirds of the managers in one academic study stated that pressure from top management causes people further down in the hierarchy to compromise their beliefs, where as 90 percent of top management disagreed with this statement (Storeman and Holliday, 2001). It is important to identify the situational factors that influence wrongdoing so that organizations can correct these problems in the future. Research indicates that fundamental ethical principles are fairly similar across cultures, but people interpret the ethical implications of specific situations differently (Buller et al, 1997). Generally, values and ethics represent an important part of organizational life as they guide decisions and actions and also influence emotions and attitudes.

Values represent what we want and also states socially desirable ways to achieving those needs. Values dictate our priorities, our preferences and actions. Basic values are at the heart of what influences employee's drives, motivation and behaviour (Kleiman, 2001). When the peoples' values align with organizational values, they tend to be motivated and exhibit behaviours that enable the organization to succeed. The Warehouse was rated the second best performing small-cap Company in the Asia-pacific region by the Wall Street Journal in 2000. Doebele (2001) in the Forbes Magazine rated it as one of the best in the world. Stephen Tindall, the founder gave the main reason for his organization's success as its "people first" values. He stated that the organization's policies of putting employees' first made them put the customers first and to provide exceptional service to them. This implies that when employees are valued and treated accordingly they tend to also care for the customers who have made it possible for them to have such regard and treatment. This leads to increased efficiency and effectiveness on the part of the employees.

There are basically two types of values based on the Rokeach value survey – terminal values and instrumental values. Terminal values refer to desirable end-state existence while instrumental values, refers to preferable modes of behaviour, or means to achieve the terminal values. Organizational behaviour scholars tend to focus on instrumental values, possibly because they shape the person's behaviour and are most closely associated with organizational objectives (Meglino and Ravlin, 1998). Research has also investigated professional values which are the values held within an occupational group (McShane and Von Glinow, 2006). Values can also be distinguished as espoused or enacted values. Espoused values represent the values that we want others to believe we abide by. Values are socially desirable, and so people and organizations create a positive public image by claiming to believe in values that others expect them to embrace. Enacted values represent the values in use. These are the values individuals and organizations actually depend on to guide their decisions and actions (Argyris and Schon, 1978, Meglino and Ravlin ,1998). Pruzan (2001) reported that personal values differed from organizational values. This implies that alignment was necessary that is, aligning individual values with organizational values to avoid high levels of stress and turnover.

4.   Corporate Culture and Performance

In the early 1980s, a number of authors suggested extensive and causal relationships between corporate culture and performance (Ouchi, 1981; Peters and Waterman, 1982). However, the studies that gave rise to what Denison (1984) characterize as the 'strong culture hypothesis' have come under criticism for their measurement, sample inadequacies and/ or lack of comparison with less successful companies (Siehl and Martin, 1990). Subsequent studies have attempted to overcome one or more of these shortcomings by including a wider variance in performance, testing the same characteristic across all companies, and/or increasing sample size

(Reynolds, 1986).   Others have related specific cultural practices to corporate performances. For example, Denison (1984) drawing on survey and performance data for 34 companies, showed that organizations that have participative corporate cultures and well organized work places have better performance records than those that do not. The results, presented in terms of return on investment and other financial indicators, indicated that companies with a participative culture reap a return on investment (ROI) that averages nearly twice as high as those in firms with less efficient cultures. The data presented provided hard evidence that the cultural and behavioural aspects of organizations are intimately linked to both short-term performance and long-term survival.

Denison (1990) drawing on data from the survey of organizations (Taylor and Bowers, 1972) found significant performance correlations with both consistency and performance for the organization of work, Emphasis on Human Resources and Decision making practices dimensions. Using the same instrument Hansen and Wernerfelt (1989) found similar relationships for Emphasis on Human Resources and Emphasis on Goal Attainment. In a separate study, Denison and Mishra (1995) reported significant correlations of Adaptability, involvement, consistency, and Mission with sales growth and return on assets. Based on surveys of management practices, Gordon (1985) reported that higher performing utilities scored higher than their less successful counterparts on Top Management Involvement, conflict resolution and Human Resource Development, while higher performing financial institution scored higher on Action Orientation, Venturesome, and Encouragement of initiative. Gordon and DiTomaso (1992) found that among a sample of life insurance companies, Adaptability both as value and culture strength (i.e. the extent of agreement concerning practices), were related to subsequent growth in premiums and assets. Kotter and Heskett (2011) also reported that when compared to lesser performing firms, higher performing firms were characterized as placing a high value on customers, employees and   stockholders.

Being part of an organization entails being part of its culture. Stoner et al (2001) stated that "how we do things around here" has a profound impact on the performance of an organization. They argue that today's organizations face the challenge of adopting an organizational culture that is not only flexible, but also sensitive to the many cultural differences that organization members face both within and between societies. Culture is linked to performance through the adoption of specific and consistent modes of behaviour throughout an organization. Organizational effectiveness can be defined as the ability of an organization to fulfill its mission by achieving its objectives through a combination of sound management, strong governance and a continuous rededication to assessing and achieving results. Kotter and Heskett (2011) reported that culture has a strong – and increasing – impact on the performance of organizations. Their study has four main conclusions; first, that corporate culture can have a significant impact on a firm's long-term economic performance. Second, corporate culture will probably be an even more important factor in determining the success or failure of firms in the next decade. Third, those corporate cultures that inhibit strong long-term financial performance are not rare; they develop easily, even in firms that are full of reasonable and intelligent people. Fourth, that although tough to change corporate cultures can be made more performance enhancing. From their findings, it is obvious that corporate culture has strong influence on organizational effectiveness. The influence could be positive or negative. The study also shows that corporate culture can also be used to enhance performance.

Kotter and Heskett (2011) also discovered that some corporate cultures are adaptive while others are not. They argue that firm's culture must be adaptive to prevent the inhibition of long-term financial performance, which may occur even in the presence of reasonable and intellectual people. Johnson (1993) reported how a customer – oriented, personable culture at Family Dollar contributed to the company's $1.2 billion in sales for 1992. He argued that strong culture could help build the financial success of a firm. In the same way, the financial success at the Limited Incorporated is attributed to its culture that emphasizes relationship – between the company, employees, and customers (Wexner, 1992). Kotter and Heskett (2011) reported several cases where cultural changes have led to periods of renewed financial performance. They pointed out that many of the companies involved were in the middle of cultural changes. They claimed that a critical element in successful culture change is leadership from the top. The founders / leaders tend to take charge of the culture.

A culture that encourages the training of employees together yearly at Family Dollar is attributed with the keeping of employees connected to one another and increased productivity (Stoner et al, 2001). Culture is reinforced constantly through the creation of stories, heroes, rites, slogans and ceremonies (Robbins, 2003; Daft, 2003; Stoner et al, 2001). The founder of Body shop Anita Roddick is reported to have used a strong corporate culture built on social activism to establish a successful organization (Stoner et al, 2001). Although some large organizations embrace some of the new rules, in general it is easier for small, new businesses to develop this type of culture from the start than for large, established organizations to change an existing culture (Stoner et al, 2001). The research conducted within the scope of the Carl Bertelsmann Prize 2003 has proven that a corporate culture, if designed humanely and efficiently and exemplary leadership behavior rank among the decisive success factors for many European companies. Lejeune and Vas, (2009) also reported that the cultural change induced by accreditation seems to be correlated with a positive impact on performance.

5.   Conclusion, Implication and Recommendations

From the foregoing, the importance of a healthy culture that drives organizational members towards the achievement of organizational goals cannot be overemphasized. Such culture will increase the productivity of employees and also serve as a means of control thereby reducing the work of the chief executive. Corporate culture enhances cooperation and team spirit which are needed for organizations to succeed.

        The implication is that managers can create a culture that will help them with control and also motivate employees towards achieving organizational goals. The paper also implies that one of the most important contributions a manager or executive can make is the culture they create. People act because of internalized values, not because of external control. This frees the managers from some of the demands of constant oversight and administrative control of their organizations. This freedom enables the manager to concentrate on the most important leadership task of all: "planning what happens next". Managing culture requires a significant portfolio of skills in the four concepts of the model – adaptability, mission, involvement and consistency. Organizations with strong adaptive cultures where employees share a larger vision for their company are more likely to have united, cooperative workforce which promote profitability, productivity and increased market share. Organizations with "intelligence" system that is not only open to new ideas but also actively seeks out sources of competitive advantage and quickly and successfully incorporates them into their own repertoire maintain competitive advantage than others. Success is more likely when individuals and organizations are goal directed. Having strong mission changes behaviour by forcing people to monitor their current behaviour against a preferred future state. Shared mission increases employees' commitment towards the achievement of organization's goals. Employee involvement creates a sense of ownership and responsibility towards the organization. Employees are more committed to a decision or course when they are involved in the decision-making process. Involved and committed employees work hard to ensure the achievement of organizational goals (i.e. increased profitability, productivity and market share).Shared values (consistency) provide the central source of integration, coordination and control. Consistent organizations have highly committed employees and are more profitable, productive and have large share of the market.

        It is therefore recommended that management of organizations establish corporate cultures that are adaptive. The mission, vision and values of the organizations should be shared to enhance maximum cooperation amongst employees. Employees should be involved in decision- making that affect them as this will make them ensure the implementation of such decisions. The training and retraining of employees should be encouraged as it enhances their ability to adapt to changes in the environment in favour of the achievement of the organizational goals. Management should have a good means of gathering and using information to achieve the goals of the organization. Management should make employees know the importance and relevance of their jobs to the goals of the organization.

References

Agle, B.R and Caldwell, C.B (1999) "Understanding Research on Values in Business" *Business and Society* 38
        (September) pp326 – 87.

Ahiauzu, .A.(1999) The African Industrial Man. CIMRAT Publications, Port Harcourt.

Amah, E (2012) Corporate Culture and Organizational Effectiveness. A Study of the Nigerian Banking Industry.
        European Journal of Business and Management. Vol 4 No 8.pp212 – 229

Amah, E (2010) Human Resource Management. Amethyst Publishers Port Harcourt.

Amah, E and Baridam, D (2012) Adaptability and Organizational Effectiveness: A Study of the Nigerian
        Banking Industry. International Journal of Business and Management Tomorrow. Vol 2 No3 p122-
        131

 Amah, E; Baridam, D and Dosunmu, R (2012) Shared Mission and Organizational Effectiveness: A Study of the
        Nigerian Banking Industry. European Journal of Business and Management. Vol 4 No 8 p 177-186

Argyris, C and Schon D. A (1978) Organizational Learning; a Theory of Action Perspective (Reading, MA:
        Addison – Wesley).

Bateman, T.S and Snell, S.A (1999) Management: Building Competitive Advantage 4[th]ed McGraw Hills Inc.

Begley, T .M .(2000)"Articulating Corporate Values Through Human Resource Policies*" Business Horizons.*
        July.

Buller P.F, Kohls, J.J and Anderson, K.S. (1997) "A Model for Addressing Cross Cultural Ethical Conflicts"
        Business and Society 36 (June) pp169– 93.

Case, J. (1996) "Corporate Culture" *INC.*, November pp 42 – 53.

Cave , R. E and Porter, M. E (1977) From Entry Business: Conjectural Decisions And Contrived Deterrence to
        New Competition. *Quarterly Journal of Economics. Vol 91 No. 2*

Chatterjee, S.R and Pearson, C.A.L (2000) Indian Managers in Transition: Orientations, Work Goals, Values and
        Ethics *Management International Review* 40 (Jan) pp 81 – 95.

Chatman, J. A (1998) Being different yet feeling similar: the influence of Demographic Composition and organizational culture on work processes and outcomes. *Administrative Science Quarterly* (Dec.).

Cheah, C.Y.J, and Garviin, M.J (2004) An Open Framework for Corporate Strategy in Construction. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management* Volume II, No.3 pp176-188

Christensen, E. W (1999) An Exploration of Industry, Culture and Revenue Growth. *Organization Studies*, Summer.

Daft, R.L (2003) Organization Theory and Design, 6th Ed, Southwestern College Publishing, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Davenport, T. O (1998) The Integration Challenge: Managing Corporate Mergers. *Management Review* 87 (Jan) pp25-28

Deal T.E and Kennedy A.A (2000) Corporate Cultures (Reading, MA: Addison- Wesley)

Denison, D.R. (1990) Corporate Culture and Organizational Effectiveness: New York: Wiley.

Denison D.R. and Mishra A.K (1995) 'Toward a theory of Organizational

Culture and effectiveness' *Organization Science* 6:204 – 223.

Denison, D.R (1984) 'Bringing Corporate Culture to the Bottom line' *Organizational Behaviour* 13/2:5 – 22.

Denison, D. R (1985) Corporate Culture and Organizational Effectiveness: A Behavioural Approach to Financial Performance. Wiley-Interscience.

Denison, D. R (2007) Denison Consulting. An Arbor Zurich Shanghai.

Doebele, I .J (2001) Kiwi Category Killer. *Forbes* August 20.

Duncan R. B(1973) Multiple Decision Making Structures in Adapting to Environmental Uncertainty – The Impact on OrganizationalEffectiveness. *Human Relations* Vol 26 pp273 – 91.

Fenwick, M. S, Decieri, H. L, and Welch, D.E (1999) Cultural and Bureaucratic Control in MNEs: The Role of Expatriate Performance Management. *Management International Review* 39 Special Issue no 3.

Fisher, S. R (2000) Downsizing in a Learning Organization: Are There Hidden Costs. *Academy of Management Review, Jan.*

Glaister ,K. W and Buckley, P. J (1998) Measures of Performance in UK International Alliance. *Organization Studies* Vol 19 no 1 p 89-118Sage Publications.

Gordon, G.G and Ditomaso, N. (1992) "Predicting Corporate Performance From Organizational Culture", *Journal of Management Studies*, (Nov) pp. 793 – 98

Gordon G.G (1985) The Relationship of Corporate Culture to Industry Sector and Corporate Performance' in Gaining Control of the Corporate Culture. R.H. Kilmann, M.J. Saxton, R. Serpa, and Association, (eds), San Francisco: Jossey – Bass

Hall R. H (1987) Organization Structures, Processes, and Outcomes, 4th Ed(Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall,).

Hammonds, K. H (2000) Practical Radicals. *Fast Company* (Sept) pp162-174

Hansen, G.S and Wernerfelt, B (1989) Determinants of Firm's Performance: The Relative Importance of Economic and Organizational Factors' *Strategic Management Journal* 10:399 – 411.

Hills, C.W.L and Jones G.R. (2003) Strategic Management 6th ed. Houghton Mifflin, Means Business, Inc.

Johnson, J.L (1993) 'Family Dollar: The Neighborhood Discounter' *Discount Merchandiser* (March) pp 38 – 42.

Judge, P. C (2000) 'Change Agent' *Fast Company* (Nov) pp216-26

Kleiman, C (2001) Work Issues the Same the Whole World Over .*Seattle Times* September 2.

Kotter, J.P and Heskett, J.L (2011) Corporate Culture and Performance, New York: The Free Press.

Lejeune, C and Vas, A (2009) "Organizational culture and effectiveness in business schools: a test of the accreditation impact" *,Journal of Management Development*, Vol. 28 Iss: 8, pp.728 – 741

McCune, J.C. (1999) Exporting Corporate Culture *Management Review* 88(Dec); pp 52 – 56.

McShane, S.L .and Von Glinow, M.(2006) Organizational Behaviour. Emerging Realities for The Workplace Revolution.4[th]ed McGraw Hill Companies Inc.

Meglino, B.M and Ravlin, E.C (1998) Individual Values in Organizations: Concepts, Controversies, and Research, *Journal of Management* 24 (May) pp351 – 89.

Nickels, G; McHugh ,J.M and McHugh, S .M (2011) Understanding Business 9[th]ed. Irwin, McGraw-Hill New York.

Ouchi, W.G (1981) Theory Z Reading MA: Addison – Wesley.

Peters, T.J and Waterman R.H (1982) In Search of Excellence: lessons from America's Best Run Companies (New York: Harper and Row).

Pettigrew,A .M (1979) On Study in Organizational Culture .*AdministrativeScience Quarterly* 24 570 – 581.

Pfeffer,J(1995) Producing Sustainable Competitive Advantage through the Effective Management of People. *Academy ofManagementExecutive*9, no. 1:55-72

Posner, B.Z and Schmidt, W.H (1992) Values and the American Manager: An Updated. *California Management Review*, Spring p.86

Pruzan, P (2001) The Question of Organizational Consciousness: Can Organizations Have Values, Virtues and Visions? *Journal of Business Ethics* 29 (February) pp 271 – 84.

Robbins, S.P (2003) Organizational Behaviour Pearson Education Inc.

Reynolds, P.D (1986) 'Organizational Culture as related to industry, Position And Performance: A Preliminary Report *Journal of Management Studies* 23: 333 – 345.

Schein, E. H (1985) Organizational Culture and Leadership: A Dynamic View San Francisco: Jossey-Bass

Siehl, C and Martin, J (1990) "Organizational Culture: A Key to Financial Performance"inB.Schneider, Ed; OrganizationalClimateandCulture (SanFrancisco; Jossey- Bass)

Society of Human Resource Management (2011) Does a company's culture affect organizational performance and effectiveness?

Sorensen J.B (2002) "The Strength of Corporate Culture and the Reliability of Firm Performance" *Administrative Science Quarterly* (March) p70 -91.31 (Spring Summer): 45 -67.

Storeman, B and Holliday, K.K. (2001) Pressure Cooker *Banking Strategies* (Jan–Feb) p.13.

"Southwest Airlines' Herb Kelleher: Unorthodoxy at work" an Interview with William G. Lee, *Management Review* (January): 9-12.

Spender, J.C. (1989) Industry Recipes. Cambridge, MA: Basil Blackwell

Stoner, J. F, Freeman, R. E and Gilber, D. R (2001) Management. (Prentice –Hall India)

Taylor J.C and Bowers, G. D (1972) Survey of Organizations. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan.

Timmerman, T.A. (1996) "Do Organizations Have Personalities?" Paper Presented at the 1996 *National Academy of Management Conference*; Cincinnati, OH, August.

Ukaegbu, I.A. (2001) Cultural factors Affecting Workers Productivity in Nigeria. A Study Government Parastatals in Rivers State. An MBA Thesis of the FMS University of Port Harcourt

Wiener, Y (1998) "Forms of Value Systems: A Focus on Organizational Effectiveness and Cultural Change and Maintenance" *Academy of Management Review* (October) p.536

Wexner; L. H (1992) "Building Customer Loyalty" Executive Excellence, November.

Dr Mrs Edwinah Amah is a member of the Faculty of Management Sciences in The University of Port Harcourt. Rivers State, Nigeria

Mrs Mildred Daminabo –Weje is a member of the School of Management Sciences in The Rivers State College of Arts and Science, Port Harcourt. Rivers State, Nigeria

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage:
http://www.iiste.org

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. There's no deadline for submission. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** http://www.iiste.org/journals/ The IISTE editorial team promises to the review and publish all the qualified submissions in a **fast** manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: http://www.iiste.org/book/

Recent conferences: http://www.iiste.org/conference/

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library , NewJour, Google Scholar