

# The Efficacy of Corporate Governance in Reducing Opportunistic Accounting Earnings Manipulations

Godfrey Akileng

College of Business and Management Sciences, Makerere University

Email: [gakileng@bams.mak.ac.ug](mailto:gakileng@bams.mak.ac.ug); [gakileng@gmail.com](mailto:gakileng@gmail.com)

## Abstract

This paper presents a review of evidence pertaining to whether effective corporate governance mitigates agency conflict and thus reduces opportunistic accounting earnings management. This study pursues the theoretical arguments, (agency theory), that the principal agent relationship provides an insight that effective corporate governance plays a significant role in reducing agency conflict and information asymmetry. This is on the premise that, it may not be possible to directly observe the managers opportunistic accounting choices, but investors (shareholders) have to take action to protect their interests; as a result firms may have to incur higher agency costs to mitigate managers' discretionary accounting choices. The findings in this study indicate that corporate governance monitoring is effective in mitigating managers' opportunistic discretionary accounting choices and reducing agency costs and thus improving the quality of reported accounting earnings.

**Keywords:** Corporate Governance, Earnings management

## 1. Introduction

This paper reviews the evidence in the literature pertaining to whether effective corporate governance mitigates agency costs and thus reduces opportunistic earnings management. The theoretical arguments (agency theory) in the principal agent relationship provide an insight that effective corporate governance plays a significant role in reducing agency conflict and information asymmetry. However, it may not be possible to directly observe the managers opportunistic accounting choices, but investors (shareholders) have to take action to protect their interests. As a result firms may have to incur higher agency costs to mitigate managers' discretionary accounting choices.

The extant literature argues that corporate governance structures are effective in protecting investors' interests, by monitoring the integrity of the financial reporting process (Fama and Jensen, 1983, Sivaramakrishnan and Yu, 2008). Additionally, corporate governance monitoring is also effective in mitigating managers' discretionary accounting choices and reducing on agency costs (Dechow et al., 1996; Klein, 2002; Peasnell et al., 2005; Niu, 2006).

The role of corporate governance is paramount and crucial in attaining a high quality financial reporting process and informing investor's decisions on the value of accounting information (Sampers, 2008; Soderstrom and Sun, 2007). Moreover, the introduction of better accounting standards such as IFRS is helpful in improving financial reporting only for firms with effective shareholder protection and company specific characteristics such as high quality corporate governance (Tanga et al., 2009; Wang and Yu, 2008). Similarly, there is evidence to suggest that the effect of the accounting standards on quality of financial reporting is weak relative to the effect of forces such as manager's incentives, auditor quality, regulation, legal enforcement and corporate governance (Holthausen, 2009).

Thus this paper attempts to provide a review of existing evidence of the role of corporate governance in mitigating opportunistic earnings management and the contribution of corporate governance to the integrity of financial reporting and protection of investors.

## 2. Agency Theory and Corporate Governance

### 2.1 Agency Conflict (Problem)

Agency theory is based on the relationship the owners of financial resources (principals) strike with the human capital (agents) to pursue the creation of economic wealth through industrial production. The owners take risk by contributing capital and the managers invest this capital to create wealth for the owners (Fama, 1980; Jensen and Meckling, 1976).

The managers are employed by the firm's owners (equity shareholders) to run the affairs of the firm on their behalf; consequently managers are agents of the principals who are the owners. The separation of ownership and control may result to conflicts of interests between insiders (managers) and outsiders (owners) (Jensen and Meckling, 1976).

The Agency conflict may increase due to risk generation on the part of the principals (investors) and the agents (managers). The principal derives risk by investing in the equity of the firm hoping to generate a return for himself. The managers take up risk through provision of labour to manage the investment of the principal, with the aim of generating a return to the investment. However, the separation of ownership from

management provides the managers with the opportunity to take control of the firm (Berle and Means, 1932, Jensen and Meckling, 1976). The control risk increases further when the firm ownership is dispersed, making the coordination among shareholders difficult and expensive, therefore managers become de facto policy makers (Williamson, 1985; Phan and Yoshikawa, 2000).

Furthermore, because managers are insiders, they have access to the firm's relevant information that is necessary to affect the direction of firms strategic decision making. Consequently, where the managers have to make strategic informed decisions; the owners may face a moral hazard problem because the managers may not take the full consequences and responsibilities of their decisions and actions, leaving the owners to hold some responsibility for the consequences of those actions (Jensen and Meckling, 1976; Phan and Yoshikawa, 2000).

The agency relationship is also fraught with conflicting interests that arise due to divergent management and shareholder objectives and information asymmetry (Coase, 1937; Jensen and Meckling, 1976; Fama and Jensen, 1983). The owners of the firm are driven by the desire to weigh in their interests against any possible losses. They are interested in activities and projects that lead to profit maximisation and cost minimisation as much as possible. However, the delegated agents private interests and decision making control may draw the owners in another direction (Berle and Means, 1932; Pratt and Zeckhauser, 1985). Consequently, when agents become all powerful, they pursue their self interests often to the detriment of value creation for share holders (Jensen and Meckling, 1976). The principal cannot without incurring costs monitor the actions of the agent, and acquire the information available to or possessed by the agents in order to realise profit maximization and cost minimization ideals (Phan and Yoshikawa, 2000).

The life span of the agents and the principals in the firm also provides potential for agency conflict. Firms have an indefinite life; as a result shareholders are more inclined to having an infinite stream of cash flows. However, managers have finite life span and are always limited to cash flows received from their employment (Farinha, 2003). This kind of conflict is common when managers are approaching end of contract or retirement. Consequently, managers may choose to have short term perspectives on investments, opting for short term investments with instant cash flow returns which may not necessarily be value maximising to shareholders (Farinha, 2003).

Agency conflicts coupled with information asymmetry provide a potential for financial reporting concerns to arise. However, in the absence of agency conflicts (problems), managers may not have the incentive to misrepresent the reported financial accounting information (Beatty and Harris, 1998; Kim and Yi, 2006).

Where agency conflicts exist, managers have the incentives to maximise their own utility at the expense of corporate shareholders (Dey, 2008). In addition, where managers have sufficient latitude in applying accounting procedures; they are inclined to take actions that maximise their utility, even when those actions do not maximise shareholder wealth (Watts and Zimmerman, 1986). These actions include the consumption of corporate resources as perquisites, the avoidance of optimal investments and the manipulation of financial accounting figures to optimize compensation (Dey, 2008). Moreover, where more equity is sold to outside investors, the utility maximising agent has the incentive to appropriate a larger amount of the corporation's resources in the form of perquisites and to exert less than full effort to create value for shareholders (Jensen and Meckling, 1976).

## **2.2 Control of the Agency Conflict**

The essential sources of agency conflicts (problem) discussed in the preceding section include, moral hazard<sup>1</sup>, information asymmetry<sup>2</sup> and risk aversion<sup>3</sup>. Financial reporting does most to combat the information asymmetry source of agency conflict. Higher levels of information asymmetry are indicators of shareholders insufficient capacity to monitor managers' actions and possible failure of adequate financial information disclosure practices (Schipper, 1989).

Evidence is provided that, high accounting quality does not only reduce information asymmetry but also decreases overall uncertainty in the market. Thus, transparent financial accounting systems play significant role in the vitality of financial markets (Qi, Subramanyam and Zhang, 2010). Reduced information asymmetry has also advantageous effects on equity and debt market information, therefore benefiting regulators to strive for high quality accounting information. These benefits manifests through reduced cost of capital and market participants understanding of alternative investment opportunities (Kothari, 2000).

Moreover, Raffournier (2008) also suggests that the use of IFRS in financial reporting leads to reduction in information asymmetry in financial accounting information provided by managers. IFRS are market

<sup>1</sup> It occurs when the terms of the contract encourages pervasive behaviour such that one party provides misleading information or has an incentive to take unusual risk before the contract settles. In the firm managers take the risk and owners bear the consequences.

<sup>2</sup> Where, the agent (managers) possesses information that is for the principal (shareholders) unobservable or costly to obtain.

<sup>3</sup> When organizations grow manager's become risk averse by potentially protecting their interests as opposed to those of the owners. Managers would like to maximise opportunities for firms that have already brought in rewards, therefore they continue to build structures that increase their opportunity for more control of the firm.

oriented and the disclosure requirements are large. IFRS accounting choices are also specific; the manager's application of specific accounting treatments is enhanced and is enforceable. Additional evidence suggests that, firms that adopt international accounting standards experience decrease in information asymmetry in financial reporting (Leuz and Verrecchia, 2000<sup>1</sup>; Platikanova and Nobes, 2006; Dumontier and Maghraoui, 2006). For instance, Horton and Serafein (2007) argue that in the United Kingdom at the time of transition to IFRS, firms reporting IFRS earnings lower than earnings computed according to the UK GAAP were penalised by the market. This implied that the market believed IFRS based information as more transparent and reliable.

A reduction in information asymmetry is also a consequence of higher quality financial reporting and practices, coupled with greater oversight by internal and external auditors and directors on the integrity of the financial reporting process (Daske et al., 2008; Bruggeman et al., 2009; Li, 2010).

Information asymmetry can also be reduced through bonding and behaviour contracts that specify activities that managers should engage in. As a result each party to the contract will be assured of maximising his interests. Principals can also monitor consequences of the agent's behaviour through outcome based contracts. One such outcome based contracts are performance related contracts that are reflected in compensation packages (Jensen and Meckling, 1976).

The principal can also limit the agent's divergent, self maximizing behaviour by making the agent to incur bonding costs<sup>2</sup>. These include retention of equity stake by the managers, or adoption of riskier than desired compensation plan. Alternatively, the principals can also incur monitoring costs. These include costs related to payments to auditors, independent directors on the board etc (Jensen and Meckling, 1976). However, Jensen and Meckling (1976) also argue that there is always a residual loss. This is because in equilibrium, the marginal agency costs should be equal to the marginal benefits of monitoring and bonding.

Corporate<sup>3</sup> governance structures have evolved that help in mitigating agency conflicts (Hart, 1995; Jensen and Meckling, 1976). Corporate governance in its divergent forms is effective in mitigating the agency problem, and providing high quality financial reporting systems. And given an efficient market provides returns to owners' investment (Sivaramakrishnan and Yu, 2008).

Because agency conflicts vary across firms, the corporate governance structures required to address these problems are also likely to vary. The corporate governance mechanisms available comprise, the market for corporate control, large shareholder monitoring, internal mechanisms such as board of directors, various non executive directors committees, executive incentives and contracts and the regulatory framework of the corporate law and stock exchanges.

An efficient capital market also functions as a monitoring mechanism for managerial use of resources and the actual capacity to maximise value. Farinha (2003) argues that when managers of the firm take actions that are deemed by the market to be value enhancing, the price of the assets of such a firm will rise. On the other hand, managers' actions that are deemed by the market to adversely affect the value of the firm's assets will cause the price of those assets to drop. However, managers may attempt to stifle the efficient functioning of the market by managing or manipulating earnings. This will maintain their information advantage over the principals.

The next section provides evidence that shows that effective corporate governance systems lead to a reduction in the agency conflict and an increase in the quality of accounting reporting systems and reliable accounting information. Earnings management should therefore, decrease due to a decline in agency conflict and a decline in information asymmetry and hence accounting earnings should be more value relevant.

### **3. Earnings Management and Corporate Governance**

#### ***3.1 Introduction and Definitions of Earnings Management***

Earnings management is defined by Schipper (1989) as, "purposeful intervention in the financial reporting of the firm, with intent of obtaining some private gain". Healy and Whalen (1999) state that, earnings management occurs when managers use their judgement in financial reporting and in structuring transactions to alter financial reports to either mislead stakeholders about the underlying economic performance of the firm or to influence contractual outcomes that depend on the reported accounting numbers.

<sup>1</sup> Leuz and Verrecchia (2000) used German data to examine the effect of IFRS and US GAAP adoption on the information asymmetry. They used the effect of bid ask spread, the trading volume and the volatility of returns as measures of information asymmetry.

<sup>2</sup> Agents incur bonding costs although these costs are ultimately passed on to the firm and hence to the principal.

<sup>3</sup> Corporate governance has been defined by scholars in various ways. Pass (2006) defines corporate governance in terms of the duties and responsibilities of the firm's board of directors in management of the firm and their relationship with the shareholders of the firm. Shleifer and Vishny (1997), define corporate governance as dealing with the ways in which suppliers of finance to corporations assure themselves of getting return on their investments. Shleifer and Vishny (1997) express the Anglo- Saxon approach to corporate governance, from the perspective of the capital markets, by focusing on the generation of fair return for the investment. Corporate governance is an important factor in an increasingly globalised economy in attracting investment capital and firm sustainability. It provides a framework for minimisation of firm risk and promotion of transparency and integrity of financial reporting. Moreover, corporate governance provides a basis for defining the relationship between the firm owners and managers, with the objective of protecting the interest of the owners.

Opportunistic earnings manipulation is done in order to misrepresent the firm's financial performance for the interests of the managers (Beneish, 1999). The manipulation consists of an artificial inflation of revenues or deflation of expenses, changes in the timing of expenses and revenue recognition, changes in accounting methods for recognition or valuation of assets and liabilities, abnormal rise of asset accounts as a result of non writing off of bad debts and accumulated depreciation on the balance sheet date or the capitalization of operating expenses (Beneish, 1999 and Aljifri, 2007).

Opportunistic earnings management is undertaken for various motives. For instance, Burgstahler and Eames (2003) and Payne and Robb (2000) suggest that it is undertaken with the intention of either misleading financial statement users or for the purpose of biasing contractual outcomes that depend on accounting earnings. Managers also use opportunistic earnings to meet analyst forecasts and raise funds on favourable terms (Magrath and Weld, 2002). Some firms also use opportunistic earnings management to avoid violating earnings based debt covenants (DeFond and Jiambalvo, 1994). Managers also alter earnings using discretionary accrual accounting choices to mask (disguise) poor firm performance in order to safeguard themselves from possible dismissal (Dhahran and Lev, 1993).

Ronen and Yaari (2007) suggest that earnings management can be beneficial in that managers take advantage of the flexibility in the choice of accounting treatment to smooth earnings so that it signals the managers' private information on future cash flows. This enhances information value of earnings by conveying private information to the shareholders and the public (Jiraporn, Miller, Yoon and Kim, 2008; Arya, Glover and Sunder, 2003 and Subramanyam, 1996). Artificial earnings smoothing affects the market perception of earnings volatility and hence the share price since smoother earnings are easy to predict. It is a desirable feature of the quality of accounting earnings compared to opportunistic earnings management. Nonetheless, artificial earnings smoothing by use of GAAP, is associated with costs such as the loss of managers credibility and integrity of financial reporting process as a whole (Goel and Thakor, 2003).

The degree of flexibility and discretion in financial reporting is a key motivator for managers to engage in earnings management (Christie and Zimmerman, 1994). This is supported by the incentive to communicate private value relevant information about the firms' future performance (Jones, 1991; Healy and Palepu, 1993). Information asymmetry also allows managers to manage earnings in order to maximise their own interests or to signal their private information, thus influencing the value of earnings (Healy, 1985; Holthausen et al., 1995).

### ***3.2 Overview of Earnings Management and Corporate Governance Regulation***

When managers manage earnings, accounting earnings reliability as measure of the firm's financial performance may come into question. The less reliable earnings are the less informative and the more difficult it becomes to make informed economic decisions and choices (Kothari, 2001). The dependability of accounting earnings is improved when managers' opportunistic behaviour is controlled using monitoring systems (Wild, 1996; Dechow et al., 1996). Corporate governance mechanisms are effective in monitoring of managers' capacity to manage earnings opportunistically (Klein, 2002; Peasnell et al., 2000; Gul and Tsui, 2001). Similarly, Beasley (1996), Dechow et al. (1996) and Jiambalvo (1996), show that a negative relationship exists between effective corporate governance mechanisms and financial reporting decisions that are breach of the generally accepted accounting principles.

Agency theory suggests a direct relation between effective monitoring of management and reduced costs of dysfunctional behaviour, rather than a direct increase of performance (Jensen and Meckling, 1976; Maher and Anderson, 2000). Corporate governance therefore acts as an assurance to shareholders on the reliability of information provided by managers. It achieves this objective by monitoring the management's performance and inspecting the financial reporting process of the firm.

By aligning the interests of shareholders with those of managers corporate governance systems enhance the reliability of financial information and the integrity of the financial reporting process (Watts and Zimmerman, 1986). Strong corporate governance systems (e.g. Cadbury report, 1992; OECD, 1999; The UK code of corporate governance, Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, etc) facilitate effective monitoring, thereby encouraging managers to use resources more efficiently. The existence of strong corporate governance may increase the value relevance of accounting information through a perception of greater integrity of financial reporting and improved reliability of managements reported accounting earnings (Cheng et al., 1997).

However, corporate governance regulation may differ across firms or countries due to investor protection requirements and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) adoption (Sarkar et al., 2008). Weak legal institutions and weak shareholder protection, means managers are in position to extract private benefits of control and conceal the true financial position of the firm from outsiders (Leuz, Nanda and Wysocki, 2003; Haw, Hu, Hwang and Wu, 2004). Leuz et al. (2003) argue that, earnings management is expected to decrease with investor protection because strong protection limits insiders' ability to acquire private control benefits, which reduces their incentives to mask firm performance. Leuz et al. (2003) findings highlight an



important link between investor protection and the quality of accounting earnings reported to market participants.

The next section provides evidence on the role of corporate governance structures (mechanisms) that are used in monitoring of the financial reporting process and protecting shareholder interests and limiting opportunistic earnings management.

### **3.3 Earnings Management and the Duality of Chief Executive Officer Roles**

There is a debate in corporate governance literature on whether the separation of the position of the board chairman from the chief executive officer (CEO) roles leads to better corporate governance. When the chairman of the board of directors of the firm is also the CEO, he or she attains a possible dominant position on the affairs of the firm. CEO dominance indicates exercise of more control and less monitoring over management adverse activities and behaviour (Finkelstein and D'Aveni, 1994).

The role of the board chairman is to monitor the chief executive officer. Consequently the chairman will be compromised in his independence between the board and management when the chief executive officer is also the board of director's chairman (Jensen, 1983). Thus, the duality<sup>1</sup> of the CEO may lead to more opportunistic managerial behaviour due to the reduction in effective board monitoring over the executives (Finkelstein and D'Aveni, 1994).

Anderson et al. (2003) suggests that the separation of the chief executive officer position from the board chairman positively influences the information content of accounting earnings. Dechow et al. (1996) finds that firms whose chief executive officer chairs the board of directors are more likely to be subject to the accounting enforcement actions by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC)<sup>2</sup>.

Sarkar et al. (2008) also argue that, CEO duality and presence of controlling shareholders on the board increases earnings management. While Mulgrew and Forker (2006) suggest that, firms where the CEO is also the founder have a higher propensity to manage earnings.

Firth, Fung and Rui (2006), indicate that investors find accounting earnings more value relevant when the position of the chairman is separate from the chief executive officer. Meanwhile, Chtourou et al. (2001) and Xie et al. (2003) find no relationship between the chief executive officer dominance and opportunistic earnings management. Although, contrary evidence exists, the balance of the evidence suggests that firms with non dual CEO are more likely to restrain opportunistic earnings management. The board of directors of a firm is the shareholders shield against opportunistic behaviour of management (Weisbach, 1998). The board of directors formulates strategic direction of the firm (Kesner and Johnson, 1990). It also performs the agency role of monitoring management for the shareholders, so that they serve to the best interest of the shareholders (Fama, 1980; Fama and Jensen, 1983). Board monitoring of financial reports is important because managers often have self interested incentives to manage earnings potentially misleading shareholders (Vafeas, 2000).

Larger boards are more likely to be vigilant because greater numbers of people will be reviewing management actions (Kiel and Nicholson, 2003). This argument is supported by John and Sebet (1998) who find that an increase in the board size is associated with increase in the boards monitoring capacity of management. While, Chtourou et al. (2001) and Xie et al. (2003), suggest that larger boards are strongly associated with lower levels of earnings management.

When boards become bigger, they are likely to include more independent directors with valuable experience and this will prevent or limit managerial opportunistic behaviour to manage earnings (Xie et al. 2003). Increased board size also breeds expertise and diversity from the public (Dalton, 1998). Moreover, the formation of committees due to large boards brings in more monitoring effect to all areas of management activities and behaviour (Klein, 2002).

However, Yu (2006) suggests that firms with smaller boards restrain earnings management more because of efficiency associated with small numbers. Similarly, Vafeas (2000) argues that the earnings of firm's with the smallest boards in the sample (with minimum of five board members) are perceived as being more informative by market participants. Similarly, Weisbach (1998) and Yermak (1996) suggest that some firms require smaller boards for effective monitoring of managers activities and their opportunistic behaviour.

Lipton and Lorsh (1992) and Jensen (1983), also suggest that larger boards are less effective than small boards because of higher costs of communication and decision making inefficiencies associated with larger boards. Alexander, Fennell and Halpern (1993) argue that managers in firms with larger boards tend to use their power to entrench themselves as opposed to smaller boards which are administratively focused and maintain their power with frequent review of the performance of the CEO. Moreover, the Higgs report (2003) and the UK code of corporate governance seem to suggest that smaller boards are more effective in corporate governance monitoring compared to larger boards.

<sup>1</sup> In this paper duality of the CEO is used to imply a situation where the CEO is both the chairman and chief executive officer of the firm.

<sup>2</sup> The mission of Securities Exchange Commission of the USA is to protect investors, maintain fair and orderly capital markets and facilitate capital formation.

The evidence on the role of board size reviewed appears to be mixed. However, there is more evidence to support the view that smaller boards are more effective in reducing earnings management and increase the reliability of earnings due to increased efficiency and effectiveness in the operations of the board because of its small size.

### **3.4 Earnings Management and Non Executive Directors**

Fama and Jensen (1983), highlight the importance of outside board members in carrying out the board decision control function. Being independent of the management's influence, outside directors are in a better position than insiders to protect shareholders interests from managerial opportunism. In addition, independent boards are more effective because they are less vulnerable to undue influence by managers (Weisbach, 1998).

Fama and Jensen (1983) also argue that competition in the outside directors' labour market compels outside directors to have an added incentive to monitor earnings management in order to retain their positions on the boards. Moreover, the labour market for non executive directors values non executive directors from firms that are performing well.

Corporate governance codes around the world, in drawing up the procedures for improving the quality of financial statements, have emphasised the fiduciary role the boards of directors, (especially independent non executive directors on the board) play in the reduction of opportunistic earnings management and in ensuring that earnings figures convey true information about the firms operations (Young, 2000). For instance, a pre and post Cadbury study, by Peasnell, Pope and Young (2000)<sup>1</sup> reveals evidence of less income increasing accrual management to avoid earnings losses or earnings declines when the proportion of non executive directors is high, post Cadbury period.

Outside directors may become effective, not just because they have no economic ties to the company beyond their job as directors, but because they are significant shareholders. Disinterested outsiders can mean uninterested outsiders, especially where they have a token ownership interest, if any, in the firms they serve (Monks and Minnow, 1995).

Board independence and significantly the quality of the board, is important in mitigating earnings management. Boards where members are diligent to their monitoring duty are associated with lower earnings management. Diligent boards spend more time scrutinising and monitoring management opportunistic behaviour hence enhancing the reliability of reported earnings (Sarkar et al. 2008).

The board's ability to successfully curb earnings management is also functionally related to the attributes each non executive director brings to the firm. Outside board of directors with financial expertise have the ability to scrutinise the reported accounting numbers and dig much deeper hence contributing to reliability of reported earnings. While, outside directors with less financial expertise have less ability to scrutinise the reported financial reports. They may fail to detect the misrepresented figures that affect earnings hence failing in their role of monitoring the opportunistic behaviour of managers (Chtourou et al. 2001).

However, there is an argument that, boards that have directors with multiple appointments that involve several other boards' exhibit higher earnings management. While these board members bring a wealth of experience and expertise, they may not have enough time and opportunity to perform their monitoring role on management (Sarker et al., 2008).

Similarly, Firth et al. (2006) provides an argument that, the existence of non executive directors on board of directors is positively related to the magnitude of discretionary accruals. They suggest increasing the non executive directors on the board does not necessarily lead to reduction in earnings management.

Meanwhile, Park and Shin (2002), also argue that outside directors, as whole, do not reduce abnormal accruals. They suggest that its only directors from financial intermediaries that reduce earnings management and that boards which are represented by active institutional shareholders reduce earnings management further and that earnings management does not decrease with the average tenure of outside directors as board members of the firm, either.

Mulgrew and Forker (2006) find that, earnings management is not associated with the non executive director independence or share ownership. These evidence is consistent with that of Xie et al. (2003) and Piot and Janin (2005), who find little association between non executive directors independence and earnings management. Similarly, Uzun et al. (2004) and Agrawal and Chadha (2005) also fail to identify a significant correlation between non executive director independence and the measures of financial reporting quality.

Although there is contrary evidence on the relationship between earnings management and non executive directors; on the balance of evidence, firms with dominant outside directors who are independent are likely to restrain opportunistic earnings management.

---

<sup>1</sup> Peasnell, Pope and Young (2000), in their study using constant sample, test whether the association between board composition and earnings management actively differs between the pre and post Cadbury periods.

### **3.5 Earnings Management and the Audit Committee**

The UK code of corporate governance, for example, proposes that, the firms audit committee should be exclusively made of outside directors. The Audit committee meets regularly with the firms' internal financial managers to review the corporation's financial statements, audit process and the internal accounting controls.

The work of the audit committee may be complicated, by legitimate differences of opinion that may exist between management and outside auditors in how to best apply the generally accepted accounting principles. These differences may result either in the auditor being dismissed or, more likely, in a negotiated financial report (Magee and Tseng, 1990; Dye, 1991; and Antle and Nalebuff, 1991).

Nonetheless, board audit committees contribute to the increase in the level of integrity of the financial auditing process and the quality of the financial reporting process (Klein, 2002). This is because, in most (if not all) cases audit committees are made of independent non executive directors who bring a wealth of expertise to scrutinise the financial reporting process of the firm. There is also evidence to suggest that firms without an audit committee are more likely to commit financial fraud (Dechow et al., 1996). Similarly, DeFond and Jiambalvo (1991) show that the overstatement of earnings is less likely among firms with audit committees.

McMullen (1996) finds that audit committee existence relates positively to financial reporting quality. For instance, the existence of an audit committee is associated with the correction of quarterly earnings; suggesting that audit committee scrutinises financial reports and digs out the misrepresented facts. Moreover, firms where an audit committee exists tend to report reliable and informative accounting information. Similarly, Karamanou and Vafeas (2005)<sup>1</sup> suggest that firms with effective board and audit committee structures will have manager's earnings forecasts that are more accurate and elicit more favourable market responses from investors.

Klein (2002) argues that the magnitude of abnormal accruals are more pronounced for firms with audit committees comprised of less than a majority of independent directors. Moreover, firms that change their boards and or audit committees from majority independent to minority independent have significantly larger increases in abnormal accruals vis- a -vis their counterparts. Klein (2002) findings support the argument that audit committees should be constituted of a majority of independent directors.

Similarly, Wild (1994), Davidson, Goodwin-Stewart and Kent (2005) argue that, when an audit committee is composed of a majority of non executive directors it is associated with a lower likelihood of earnings management. Moreover, Ebrahim (2007) finds that the absolute value of abnormal accruals is negatively related to audit committee independence and abnormal accruals are much lower when independent audit committees are more active.

The effectiveness of the audit committee is also associated with its independence and the presence of finance and accounting expertise. For instance, Bryan et al. (2004) find that audit committee independence is positively associated with the information content of accounting earnings. While, Chtourou et al. (2001) suggests that the presence of at least one member with financial expertise on the audit committee is negatively related to the level of earnings management. And, Xie et al. (2003) finds that firms with high proportions of audit committee members with corporate or investment banking backgrounds have lower levels of earnings management.

However, Peasnell et al. (2000), finds no association between the audit committee existence and earnings management. Peasnell et al. (2005) also argues that there is no evidence that the presence of an audit committee directly affects the extent of income increasing manipulations to meet or exceed thresholds. Neither do audit committees appear to have a direct effect on the degree of downward manipulation, when pre managed earnings exceed thresholds by a large margin.

Although contrary evidence exists, the balance of evidence suggests that an audit committee contributes significantly to the quality of the financial reporting process. In addition firms with independent audit committees are associated with lower earnings management. The effectiveness of the independent audit committee is complemented by presence of finance and accounting expertise.

### **3.6 Earnings Management and Institutional Ownership and Concentration**

Ownership structure is associated with managerial incentives to manipulate accounting earnings (Salamon and Smith, 1979). Outsiders who own large blocks of shares have alternative information sources that render such manipulation ineffective (Salamon and Smith, 1979). These outside owners are institutional investors or large investors such as banks, trusts, insurance companies, and pension funds (Bushee, 1998; Velury and Jenkins, 2006).

Increased ownership concentration provides large shareholders with sufficient incentives to monitor managers (Ramsey and Blair, 1993). Large shareholders have greater incentives of monitoring management, because monitoring costs are less than the expected benefits to their large equity holdings (Demsetz and Lehn,

---

<sup>1</sup> Karamanou and Vafeas (2005) examined how corporate boards and audit committees are associated with voluntary financial disclosure practices proxied by management earnings forecasts

1985; Stiglitz, 1985). In addition, institutional investors have resources, opportunities and abilities to monitor, discipline and influence the actions of managers through formal and informal means (Cubbin and Leech, 1983; Diamond, 1984; Monks and Minnow, 1995).

Firms with large shareholders have a larger capacity for monitoring the discretionary accruals choices of managers because they are driven by the desire to maximise value on their investment (Bennedson and Wolfenzon, 2000; La Porta et al., 1998). While Barnea et al. (1976), suggests that the incidence of income smoothing varies cross-sectionally and in particular with the degree of outside control. Firms with large shareholders have lower propensity for earnings smoothing than firms with low or dispersed shareholder concentration. Similarly, Yu (2006) finds that firms with strong institutional ownership manage earnings less. While Rajgopal et al. (1999) also find that the absolute value of discretionary accruals declines with institutional ownership.

However, when outside ownership is significant, but diffuse, incentives for manipulation are strongest because monitoring may not be rigorous. Thus, both the motivation and opportunity for managerial manipulation of earnings increases with diffuse outside ownership (Donnelly and Lynch, 2002). While, Hart (1995), suggests that when shareholders hold low stakes in the firm, they have little or no incentive to monitor managers, because monitoring costs will exceed the gains of monitoring. Thus, dispersed ownership leads to weaker incentives to monitor management (Maher and Anderson, 2000).

Chung et al. (2004) argue that when the collective shareholdings of institutions in a firm are high, then significant monitoring of management actions will take place. This contrasts with scenarios where a single institutional investor holds a large stake. Alternatively, when institutional investors have relatively low stakes (shareholding) in a company, there is less incentive to monitor managerial opportunism. In this case, when institutional investors become unhappy with the managers actions, they liquidate their stakes.

Pound (1988), Faccio and Lasfer (2000) and Renneboog (2000) argue that monitoring of managers discretionary accounting actions by institutional investors is not effective. They suggest that agency costs within the institutional investor itself might prevent it from being an effective monitor coupled with high liquidity costs and conflicts of interests and strategy with other investors.

Meanwhile, Jacobs (1991) and Porter (1992) argue that institutional investors have a short term focus, which puts pressure on management to improve current profitability. In such circumstances, managers have to resort to accrual increasing actions to meet the demands of institutional investors because of fear that short term disappointment of institutional investors will cause them to liquidate their shareholdings.

Similarly, Hsu and Koh (2005) argue that short term institutional owners are associated with upward accruals management. However, Koh (2007)<sup>1</sup> suggests that, long term institutional investors constrain accruals management and that there is no evidence that short term institutional investors are systematically associated with aggressive earnings management; among firms that manage earnings to meet their targets.

Sarkar et al. (2008) show that Indian domestic institutional owners act as a compensating control mechanism to mitigate the detrimental influence of controlling foreign institutional shareholders. They argue that, foreign institutional owners' interests are always short term, as opposed to domestic institutional owners, thus they will recommend accounting policy choices that suit their interest.

Similarly, Firth et al. (2006) and Chen et al. (2002), find that foreign institutional ownership (shareholders) appear to lower earnings quality by attempting to persuade managers to adopt accounting treatments that suit them which may be less relevant for domestic investors. Firth et al. (2006) also shows that firms with highly concentrated share ownership have lower earnings informativeness which they attribute to the entrenchment effect, where large shareholders may influence firms to adopt accounting policies that reflect the wishes of the large owners rather the economic substance of the business transactions.

Similarly, Bebchuk (1994) and Stiglitz (1985) suggest that ownership concentration may negatively affect the quality of the accounting earnings because large share holders have the capacity to abuse their position of dominant control at the expense of minority shareholders. While Velury and Jenkins (2006) also document evidence to show that concentrated ownership negatively affects the quality of accounting earnings.

The literature reviewed on the role of institutional ownership in mitigating managerial discretionary accounting choices is mixed. However, the balance of the arguments suggests that institutional owners have alternative information sources therefore reduce information asymmetry that renders managers opportunistic discretionary accruals manipulation ineffective.

### **3.7 Earnings Management and Managerial Ownership**

Dechow et al. (2009) discuss the two competing theories about the incentives that managerial ownership

---

<sup>1</sup> Koh (2007) classified institutional investors by their investment horizons to examine the association between institutional type and firms' discretionary earnings management strategies in mutually exclusive settings, that is, firms that use accruals to meet earnings targets and those that do not.



provides for accounting choice, that is, the entrenchment effect and the alignment effect. Agency theory argues that managers with high ownership interest in the firm are less likely to alter earnings for short term private benefits at the expense of the outside owners (Jensen and Meckling, 1976). This is because manager's interests are aligned with those of shareholders; therefore, they will not have the motivation to act opportunistically, and report earnings that reflect the underlying economic values of the firm (Warfield et al., 1995; Gul, Chen, and Tsui, 2003). Moreover there is evidence that firm's value is higher when officers and directors have greater equity ownership (Yermack, 1996).

Warfield et al. (1995) also suggest that managerial ownership is positively associated with earnings informativeness of returns and inversely related to the magnitude of accounting accrual adjustments. Moreover, ownership is less important for regulated corporations, suggesting regulation monitors managers accounting choices. Similarly, Sanchez-Ballesta and Garcia-Meca (2007) find that insider ownership contributes both to the informativeness of earnings and to constraining earnings management when the proportion of shares held by insiders is not too high. When insiders own a large percentage of shares however, they are entrenched and the relation between insider ownership, discretionary accruals and earnings informativeness reverses.

Gabrielsen et al. (2002) find a negative relationship between managerial ownership and the information content of earnings; and positive not significant relationship between managerial ownership and discretionary accruals. Meanwhile Rajgopal et al. (1999) finds that there is no significant relationship between discretionary accruals and managerial ownership.

Yeo et al. (2002) find that the informativeness of earnings does not always increase with managerial ownership. At low level of management ownership, the informativeness of earnings (level of income increasing discretionary accruals) has positive relationship with management ownership. However, at higher levels of management ownership, the relationship reverses suggesting that the entrenchment effect might have set in. Lacker et al. (2007) find that insiders' power primarily measured by managerial ownership is positively associated with discretionary accruals. Entrenched managers promote their private benefits and use earnings management to conceal firm performance from outsiders (shareholders). Managers have the incentive to conceal their private control benefits from the outsiders because, if these benefits are detected, outsiders will likely take disciplinary actions against them (Zingales, 1994; Shleifer and Vishny, 1997).

Leuz, Nanda and Wysocki (2003) suggest that when managers become controlling owners they have incentives to manage reported earnings in order to mask true firm performance and to conceal their private control benefits from outsiders. For example managers can use their financial reporting discretion to overstate earnings and to conceal unfavourable earnings realisations that would prompt outsider to interfere. Insiders can also use their accounting discretion to create reserves for future periods by understating earnings in years of good performance, effectively making reported earnings less reliable than the firms' true economic performance.

In conclusion, the review of the evidence on the role of managerial ownership in monitoring discretionary choices of managers is mixed. Two alternative views are derived. Increased managerial ownership aligns the manager's interests with that of a firm and is expected to lead to reduced earnings management. However, at high level of managerial ownership the managers become entrenched and as such the opportunities for management opportunistic behaviour are increased.

#### **4. Conclusion and Implications**

In conclusion, the association between corporate governance mechanisms and earnings management attempts to empirically show that the attributes of corporate governance are generally associated with reduction in earnings manipulations. Such attributes of corporate governance include CEO duality, board composition and characteristics and firm ownership.

Corporate governance monitoring is effective in mitigating managers' opportunistic discretionary accounting choices and reducing agency costs (Dechow et al., 1996; Klein, 2002; Niu, 2006). Corporate governance mechanisms are also effective in monitoring of managers' capacity to manage earnings opportunistically (Dechow et al., 1996; Peasnell et al., 2000; Gul and Tsui, 2001; Klein, 2002). Moreover, a negative relationship exists between effective corporate governance mechanisms and financial reporting decisions that are breach of GAAP (Beasley, 1996; Dechow et al. 1996; Jiambalvo, 1996).

The dependability of accounting earnings is improved when managers' opportunistic behaviour is controlled using monitoring systems (Wild, 1996; Dechow et al., 1996). Choi et al. (2011), argue that the decrease in value relevance of discretionary accruals is high among firms with weak institutions and high information asymmetries. Chung et al. (2004), suggests that accounting earnings are value relevant when managers' discretionary actions are controlled using monitoring systems. Such systems reduce information asymmetry so that managers' discretionary choices are seen to maximise or to signal their private information on the value of earnings in the market. High quality corporate governance is also associated with better disclosure and less information asymmetry (Donnelly and Mulcahy, 2008). So regardless of whether discretionary accruals are considered opportunistic or if they are a method of signalling private information their value

relevance should be increasing with improvements in the quality of corporate governance.

Moreover, evidence reviewed attempts to provide a justification to the view that accounting conservatism and earnings manipulation may not be mutually exclusive. Implying that, firms with strong corporate governance have the capacity to use accounting conservatism practices to unmask managers' opportunistic behaviour.

## References

- Abarbanell, J., and Bushee, B.(1997), "Fundamental Analysis, Future Earnings And Stock Prices", *Journal of Accounting Research* 35, 1-35.
- Abdelghany, K.E.(2005), "Measuring the Quality of Earnings", *Managerial Auditing Journal* 20, (9), 1001-1015.
- Aflatooni, A., and Nikbakht, Z. (2010), "Income Smoothing, Real Earnings Management and Long Run Stock Returns", *Business Intelligence Journal* 3, (1).
- Agrawal, A., and Chadha, S. (2005), "Corporate Governance and Accounting Scandals", *Journal of Law and Economics* 48 (2), 371-406.
- Ahmed, A. (1994), "Accounting Earnings and Future Economic Rents: An Empirical Analysis", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 17, 377-400.
- Ahmed, K., Godfrey, J.M and Saleh, N.M. (2008), "Market Perceptions Of Discretionary Accruals By Debt Negotiating Firms", *International Journal of Accounting* 43, 114-138.
- Ajinkya, B.B., Bhojraj, S., and Sengupta, P. (2005), "The Association Between Outside Directors, Institutional Investors and the Properties of Management Earnings Forecasts", *Journal of Accounting Research* 43, (3), 343-376.
- Aleksanyan, M., Karim, E., K and Lessard, P., J. (2009), "Value Relevance Book Value and Earnings: The Case of Premium Vs Discount Firm", *Working Paper*, Rochester Institute of Technology.
- Alexander, J.A., Fennel, M.L., and Halpern, M.T. (1993), "Leadership Instability in Hospitals: The Influence Of Board-CEO Relations and Organisational Growth and Decline", *Administration Science Quarterly*, 74-99.
- Ali Shah, Z.S., Yuan, H., Zafar, N. (2010), "Earnings Management and Dividend Policy, an Empirical Comparison between Pakistani Listed Companies and Chinese Listed Companies", *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics* 35.
- Alijifri, K. (2007), "Measurement and Motivations of Earnings Management: A Critical Perspective", *Journal of Accounting-Business and Management* 14, 75 -95.
- Aman, Hiroyuki and Nguyen, P. (2008), "Do Stock Prices Reflect the Corporate Governance Quality Of The Firm"? *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies* 22, (4), 647-662.
- Anderson, K., Deli, D., and Gillian, S. (2003), "Boards of Directors, Audit Committees, and The Information Content Of Earnings", *Working Paper*.
- Anderson, R., Bates, T., BiZjak, and Lemmon, M.(2000), "Corporate Governance and Firms Diversification, Financial Management" 29,(1), 5-23.
- Anderson, R.C., and Reeb, D.M. (2003), "Founding-Family Ownership and Firm Performance: Evidence From The S&P 500", *Journal of Finance* 58 1301-1328.
- Anthony, J., and Ramesh, K. (1992), "Association between Accounting Performance Measures and Stock Prices: A Test Of The Life Cycle Hypothesis", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 15, 203-227.
- Antle, R., and Nalebuff, B. (1991), "Conservatism and Auditor Client Negotiations", *Journal of Accounting Research*, 29, 31-59.
- Argenti, J. (1976), "Corporate Collapse: The Causes and Symptoms", *McGraw-Hill, London United Kingdom*.
- Arya, A., Glover, J., and Sunder, S. (2003), "Are Unmanaged Earnings Always Better For Shareholders"? *Accounting Horizons (Supplement)*, 111-116.
- Baber, W., Chen, S., and Kang, S. (2006), "Stock Price Reaction To Evidence Of Earnings Management: Implications For Supplementary Financial Disclosure", *Review of Accounting Studies* 11, (1), 5-19.
- Baber, R.W., Kang, S.H., and Kumar, R.K. (1998), "Accounting Earnings and Executive Compensation: The Role of Earnings Persistence", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 25, 169-193.
- Badrinath, S.G., Gay, G.D., and Kale, J.R. (1989), "Patterns of Institutional Investment, Prudence and the Managerial 'Safe-Net' Hypothesis", *Journal of Risk and Insurance* 56, 605-629.
- Bae, K.H., and Jeong, S.W. (2007), "Value Relevance of Earnings and Book Value, Ownership Structure and Business Group Affiliation: Evidence from Korea Business Group", *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting* 34, (5&6), 740-766.
- Ball, R., and Brown, P. (1968), "An Empirical Evaluation of Accounting Income Numbers", *Journal of Accounting Research* 6, (2), 159-178.
- Ball, R., Kothari, S., and Robin, A. (2000), "The Effect of International Institutional Factors on Properties of Accounting Earnings", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 29, 1-51.

- Ball, R., Robin, A., and Sadka, G. (2008), "Is Financial Markets Shaped By Equity Markets Or Debt Markets? An International Study of Timeliness and Conservatism", *Review of Accounting Studies* 13,168-205.
- Ball, R., and Shivakumar, L. (2005), "Earnings Quality in the UK Private Firms: Comparative Loss Recognition Timeliness", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 39, 235-270.
- Ball, R., Robin, A and Wu, J.S. (2003), "Incentives versus Standards Properties of Accounting Income in the Four East Asian Countries, And Implications for Acceptance of IAS", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 36.
- Balsam, S., Bartov, E., and Marquardt, C. (2002), "Accruals management, investor sophistication, and equity valuation: Evidence from 10-Q filings", *Journal of Accounting Research* 40 (4).
- Barnea, A., Ronen, J., and Sadan. (1976), "Classificatory Smoothing of Income With Extraordinary Items", *The Accounting Review*, 110-122.
- Barnett, V and Lewis, T. (1994), "Outliers in Statistical Data", 3th Edition, *John Wiley and Sons*.
- Barth, M., Cram, D., and Nelson, K. (1999), "Accruals and Prediction of Future Cash Flows", *Working Paper, Stanford University*.
- Barth, M., Beaver, W., and Landsman, W. (1992), "The Market Valuation Implications Net Periodic Pension Cost Components", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 15, 27-62.
- Barth, M., Landsman, W.R., and Lang, M. (2008), "International Accounting Standards Quality", *Journal of Accounting Research* 46, 467-498.
- Bartov, E., Goldberg, S.R., and Kim, M.S. (2001), "The Valuation-Relevance Of Earnings And Cash Flows: An International Perspective", *Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting* 12 (2), 103-132.
- Bartov, E., S.R., Goldberg, and M.S. Kim. (2005), "Comparative Value Relevance Among German, US And International Accounting Standards: German Stock Market Perspective", *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance* 20, (2), 95-119.
- Basu,S.(1997), "The Conservatism Principle and The Symmetric Timeliness of Earnings", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 24, 3-27.
- Beasley. (1996), "An Empirical Analysis of the Relation between the Board of Director Composition and the Financial Statement Fraud", *The Accounting Review* 71 (4), 443-465.
- Beattie, V., Goodacre, A., and Thompson, S. (2006), "International Lease-Accounting Reform And Economic Consequences: The Views Of U.K. Users And Preparers", *The International Journal of Accounting* 41, 75-103.
- Beatty, A., and Harris, D.G. (1998), "The Effect of Taxes, Agency Costs and Information Asymmetry on Earnings Management. A Comparison Of Public And Private Firms", *A Review of Accounting Studies* 3,299-326.
- Beaver, W. (1981), "Financial reporting: An Accounting Revolution", *Englewood Cliffs,N.J: Prentice-Hall*.
- Beaver, W. (1998), "Financial reporting: An Accounting Revolution", 3rd ed, *Prentice-Hall*, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Beaver, W., Clarke, R., and Wright, F. (1979), "The Association Between Unsystematic Security Returns and The Magnitude of Earnings Forecast Errors", *Journal of Accounting Research* 17, 316-340.
- Beaver, W.H., and McNichols, M.F. (1998), "The Characteristics and Valuation of Loss Reserves of Property Casualty Insurers", *Review of Accounting Studies* 3 (1& 2) 73-95.
- Beaver, W., Lambert, R., and Morse, D., (1980), "The Information Content of Security Prices", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*", 3-28.
- Beaver, W., McAnally, M., and Stinson, C. (1997), "The Information Content of Earnings and Prices: A Simultaneous Approach", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* (23), 53-81.
- Bebchuk, L. (1994), "Efficient and Inefficient Sales of Corporate Control", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, (109), 957-994.
- Bebchuk, L., Coates, J., Subramanian, G. (2002), "Director Primacy in Corporate Takeovers: Preliminary Reflections", *Stanford Law Review*.
- Bebchuk, L., Coates, J., Subramanian, G. (2003), "The Trouble with Staggered Boards", *Corporate Adviser* (2) 2, 17-19.
- Bebchuk, L., and Cohen, A. (2005), "The Costs of Entrenched Boards", *Journal of Financial Economics* 78, 409-433.
- Becker, C.L, DeFond, M.L., Jiambalvo, J., and Subramanyam, K.K. (1998), "The Effect of Audit Quality on Earnings Management", *Contemporary Accounting Research* 15, 1-24.
- Beisland.L.A. (2009), "A Review of the Value Relevance Literature, *The Open Business Journal*, 2, 7-27.
- Beisland.L.A and Knivsflo. (2009), "Have IFRS changed how investors respond to earnings and book values"? SSRN Working Paper.
- Beneish, A. (1999), "The Detection of Earnings Manipulation", *Working Paper*.

- Bennedsen, M., and Wolfenzon, D. (2000), "The Balance Of Power in Closely Held Corporations", *Journal of Financial Economics* 58, 113-139.
- Bergstresser, D., and Philippon, T. (2006), "CEO Incentives and Earnings Management", *Journal of Financial Economics*, 80, 511-529.
- Berle, A., and Means, G. (1932), "The Modern Corporation and Private Property", 313.
- Bernard, V., And Skinner, D. (1996), "What Motivates Managers' Choice of Discretionary Accruals"? *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 22,313-325.
- Bernard, V., and Stober, T. (1989), "The Nature And The Amount Of Information In Cash Flows And Accruals", *The Accounting Review*, vol. 64, 624-652.
- Bertrand, M., and Mullainathan, S. (2001), "Do People Mean What They Say? Implications for Subjective Survey Data", *American Economic Review* 91 (2), 67-72.
- Bertrand, M., and Mullainathan, S. (2001), "Are CEOs Rewarded For Luck? The Ones without Principles Are", *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 116, 901-932.
- Bhagat, S., and Black, B. (1999), "The Uncertain Relationship between Board Composition and Firm Performance", *Business Lawyer* 54,921-963.
- Bhagat, S., Carey, D., and Elson, C. (1999), "Directors Ownership, Corporate Performance, And Management Turnover", *Business Lawyer* 54, 885-919.
- Bhagat, S., and Jefferis, F. (2002), "Econometrics of Corporate Governance Studies". IT press, Working Paper.
- Bhattacharya, H., Daouk, H., and Welker, M. (2003), "The World Price Of Earnings Opacity", *The Accounting Review*.
- Biddle, G., Brown, R., and Wallace. (1997), "Does EVA Beat Earnings? Evidence on Associations with Returns and Firm Values", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 24, 301-336.
- Bonazzi, L., and Sardar, M.N.I. (2007), "Agency Theory And Corporate Governance: A Study Of The Effectiveness Of Board In Their Monitoring Of The CEO", *Journal of Modelling in Management* 2 (1).
- Bowen, R., Rajgopal, S., and Venkatachalam, M. (2008), "Accounting Discretion, Corporate Governance, and Firm Performance", *Contemporary Accounting Research* 25, 310-405.
- Bowman, R.G and Navissi, F. (2003), "Earnings Management and Abnormal Returns: Evidence From the 1970-1972 Price Control Regulations", *Accounting and Finance Journal* 43 (1), 1-19.
- Boyd, B.K. (1995), "CEO Duality and Firm Performance: a Contingency Model", *Strategic Management Journal* 16, 301-312.
- Brown, L., and Caylor, M. (2006), "Corporate Governance and Firm Valuation", *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* 25, 409-434.
- Bryan D., Liu M.H.C. and Tiras, S.L. (2004), "The Influence of Independent and Effective Audit Committees on Earnings Quality", *Working Paper* (State University of New York, Buffalo).
- Burgstahler, D., and Dichev, I. (1997), "Earnings Management to Avoid Earnings Decreases and The Losses". *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 24, 99-126.
- Burgstahler, D., and Eames, M. (2003), "Earnings Management to Avoid Losses And Earnings Decreases: Are Analysts Fooled"? *Contemporary Accounting Research* 20 (2), 253-294.
- Bruggerman, U., Daske, H., Homberg, C and Pope, P.F. (2009), "How Do Individual Investors React To Global IFRS Adoption"? *Working Paper*.
- Bugshan, T. (2005), "Corporate Governance, Earnings Management and the Information Content Of Accounting Earnings: Theoretical Models and Empirical Tests", *Bond University*.
- Bushee, B. (1998), "The Influence of Institutional Investors on Myopic R&D Investment Behaviour", *The Accounting Review* 73,305-333.
- Caers, R., DuBois, C., Jegers, M., De Gieter, S., Schepers, C., and Pepermans. (2006), "Principal agent relationships on the stewardship- agency axis", *Non Profit Management and Leadership* 17 (1).
- Cadbury Committee Report (1992), "Report on the Financial Aspects of Corporate Governance", *Gee Publishing*, London United kingdom.
- Cai, L., Rahman, A., and Courtenay. (2008), "The Effects of IFRS and Its Enforcement on Earnings Management: An International Comparison", *Working Paper*.
- Callao, S., and Jarne, J.I. (2010), "Country Factors and Value Relevance of Discretionary Accruals in European Union", *Working Paper*.
- Chang, J. (1998), "The Decline in Value Relevance of Earnings and Book Values", Working Paper
- Chaney, P.K., Jeter D.C., and Lewis, C.M. (1995), "Earnings Management and Firm Valuation Under Asymmetric Information", *Journal of Corporate Finance: Contracting, Governance, and Organization* 1 (3&4), 319-345.
- Chaney, P.K., Jeter D.C., and Lewis, C.M. (1998), "The Use of Accruals in Income Smoothing: A Permanent Earnings Hypothesis", *Advances in Quantitative Finance and Accounting* 6,103-135.
- Chen, C. (2004), "Earnings Persistence and Stock Price-Under and Overreaction", *Working Paper*.



- Chen.H., Tang,Q.,Jiang,Y and Lin,Z.(2009), "International Financial Reporting Standards and Accounting Quality: Evidence From the European Union", *Working Paper*.
- Chen J., Hong H., and Stein, J.C. (2002), "Breadth of Ownership and Stock Returns", *Journal of Financial Economics*, 66, 171–205.
- Chen .Y, and Sanders, R. (2003), "Institutional Constraints on Changes In Ownership and Control Within Chinese Publicly Listed Companies, in *Sustaining China's Economic Growth in The Twenty-First Century*", *Routledgecurzon Studies On The Chinese Economy* 3.
- Cheng, C., Liu, C., and Shaefer, T. (1996), "Earnings Permanence and the Incremental Information Content of Cash Flows from Operations", *Journal Of Accounting Research* 34, 175-181.
- Cheng, C., Liu, C.and Schaefer, T. (1997), "Accounting Accruals and the Incremental Information Content Of Earnings Management And Cash Flows From Operations", *Advances in Accounting Research*, 15, 101-123.
- Choi, J.H., Kim, J.B., and Lee, J.J. (2011), "Value Relevance of Discretionary Accruals in the Asian Financial Crisis of 1997-1998", *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 30 (2), 166-187.
- Christensen, T.E, Hoyt, R.E, and Paterson, J.S. (1999), "Ex Ante Incentives for Earnings Management and the Informativeness of Earnings", *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting* 26 (7-8).
- Christesen, H., Lee.H., and Walker.M. (2007), "Do IFRS/UK-GAAP Reconciliations Convey New Information"? *Working Paper*.
- Christesen, H., Lee.H., and Walker.M. (2008), "Incentives Or Standards: What Determines Accounting Quality Changes Around IFRS Adoption"? *Working Paper*.
- Christie, A., and Zimmerman, J. (1994), "Efficient Verses Opportunistic Choices of Accounting Procedures; Corporate Control Contest", *Accounting Review* 69, pp 539-566.
- Chtourou, S., Bedard, J., and Courteau, L. (2001), "Corporate Governance and Earnings Management", *Working Paper*.
- Chung, H., Kallapur, S. (2003), "Client Importance, Non Audit Services, and Abnormal Accruals, *the Accounting Review* 78 (4), pp 931-55.
- Chung, R., Ho, S., and Kim, J.B. (2004), "Ownership Structure and the Pricing of Discretionary Accruals in Japan", *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation* 13, pp 1-20.
- Chung-Hua, S and Hsiang-Lin C. (2007), "Earnings Management and Corporate Governance in Asia's Emerging Market: Corporate Governance", *An International Review* 15 (5), pp 999-1021.
- Coase, R. (1937), "The Nature of the Firm", *Economica* 4, 386-405.
- Collins, D., and Hribar, P. (2000), "Earnings -Based And Accrual- Based Market Anomalies: One Effect Or Two"? *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 29,101-123.
- Collins, D., and Hribar, P. (2002), "Errors In Estimating Accruals: Implications For Empirical Research", *Journal of Accounting Research* 40, 105-135.
- Collins, D., and Kothari, R. (1989), "An Analysis of Inter Temporal and Cross Sectional Determinants of Earnings Response Coefficients", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 11,143-181.
- Collins, D., Kothari, S., Shanken, J., and Sloan, R. (1994), "Lack Of Timeliness versus Noise as Explanations for Contemporaneous Return-Earnings Association", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 18, 289-324.
- Collins, D., Kothari, S., and Rayburn, J. (1987), "Firm Size and the Information Content of Prices With Respect To Earnings", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 9,111-138.
- Collins, D., Pincus, M., and Xie, H. (1999), "Equity Valuation and Negative Earnings: The Role of Book Value of Equity", *The Accounting Review* 74, 29-61.
- Collins, D.W., Maydew, E.L., and Weiss, I.S. (1997), "Changes in Value Relevance of Earnings and Book Values over the Past Forty Years", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 24, 39-67.
- Core, J. and Schrand, C. (1999), "The Effect of Accounting-Based Debt Covenants On Equity Valuation", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 27, 1–34.
- Cormier, D., and Ledoux, M., and Villeneuve, G. (2011), "The Value Relevance of Discretionary Accruals under Environmental Uncertainty: The Incidence of IFRS and the Legal System", *SSRN Working Paper*.
- Cubbin, J.S. and Leech, D. (1983), "The Effect of Shareholding Dispersion on the Degree of Control in British Companies: Theory and Measurement", *Economic Journal* 93, 351-369.
- Dahya, J., Lonie, A. A. and Power, D.M. (1996), "The Case for Separating the Roles of Chairman and CEO: An Analysis of Stock Market and Accounting Data", *Corporate Governance: An International Review* 4, 71-77.
- Dahya, J., Mcconnell, J., and Travlos, N. (2002), "The Cadbury Committee, Corporate Performance, and Top Management Turnover", *Journal of Finance* 57, 461-483.
- Daily, C. M., Johnson, J. L., and Dalton, D. R. (1999), "On the Measurement of Board Composition: Poor Consistency and A Serious Mismatch of Theory and Operationalization", *Decision Sciences* 30 (1), 83-106.

- Daily, C.M. And Dalton, D.R. (1993), "Boards of Directors, Leadership and Structure: Control and Performance Implications", *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* 17, 65-81.
- Daily, C.M. And Dalton, D.R. (1994), "Corporate Governance and the Bankrupt Firm", *Strategic Management Journal* 15, 643-654.
- Dalton, D.R., Daily, C.M., Ellestrand, A.E. And Johnson, J.L. (1998), "Meta-Analytic Reviews of Board Composition, Leadership Structure, and Financial Performance", *Strategic Management Journal* 19, 269-290.
- Dare P. (1998), "Corporate Governance-An Investor's Perspective", *The Treasurer*, 26-29.
- Daske, H. (2006), "The Economic Benefits of Adopting IFRS or US GAAP. Have The Expected Costs Of Equity Capital Really Decreased"? *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting* 33 (3&4), 329-373.
- Daske.H., Hail.L.,Leuz.C., and Verdi.R.(2008), "Adopting A Label: Heterogeneity In The Economic Consequences Of IFRS Adoption", *Journal of Accounting Research* 46 (5), 1085-1143.
- Daske.H, Hail.L, Leuz.C, and Verdi.R. (2007), "Mandatory IFRS Reporting Around The World: Early Evidence On The Economic Consequences", *SSRN Working Paper*.
- Davidson, R.,Goodwin-Stewart,J., And Kent.(2005), "Internal Governance Structures and Earnings Management", *Journal of Accounting And Finance*,45, 241-267.
- Davidson, S., Stickney, C., And Weil, R. (1987), "Accounting: The Language of Business", *Seventh Edition, Thomas Horton and Daughter, Arizona*.
- Davila, A., and Penalva, F. (2006), "Governance Structure and Weighting of Performance Measures Of CEO Compensation", *Rec Acc Stud* 11, 463-493.
- Deangelo, L. (1988), "Managerial Competition, Information Costs and Corporate Governance. The Use of Accounting Performance Measures in Proxy Contest", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 287-336.
- Deangelo, H., Deangelo L., And Skinner, D.J. (1994), "Accounting Choice in Troubled Companies", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 17 (1&2), 113.
- Dechow, P. (1994), "Accounting Earnings and Cash Flows as Measures of Firm Performance: The Role of Accounting Accruals", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 18, 3-42.
- Dechow, P., Ge, W., and Shrand, C. (2009), "Understanding Earnings Quality: A Review of the Proxies, Their Determinants and Their Consequences", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 48 (2&3), 112-131.
- Dechow, P., Hutton, A., and Sloan, R. (1999), "An Empirical Assessment of the Residual Income Valuation Model", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 26, 1-34.
- Dechow, P., Richardson, S.A., And Tuna, I. (2003), "Why Are Earnings Kinky? An Examination of the Earnings Management Explanation", *Review of Accounting Studies* 8,355-384.
- Dechow, P., and Sloan, R. (1991), "Executive Incentives and the Horizon Problem: An Empirical Investigation", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 14, 51-89.
- Dechow, P., Sloan R., and Sweeney, A. (1995), "Detecting Earnings Management", *The Accounting Review*, 70, 2, 193-225.
- Dechow, P., Sloan R., and Sweeney, A. (1996), "Causes and Consequences of Earnings Manipulation: An Analysis of Firms Subject To Enforcement Actions by the SEC", *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 13, 1-36.
- Dechow, P., Kothari, S., and Watts, R. (1998), "The Relation between Earnings and Cash Flows", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 25, 133-168.
- Dechow, P., and Skinner, D., (2000), "Earnings Management: Reconciling the Views of Accounting Academics, Practitioners and Regulators", *American Accounting Association Accounting Horizons* 14, (2), 235-250.
- Defond, M.L., and Jiambalvo, J. (1991), "Incidence and Circumstances of Accounting Errors", *The Accounting Review*, 643-655.
- Defond, M.L., and Jiambalvo, J. (1994), "Debt Covenant Violation and Manipulation of Accruals", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 17, 145-176.
- Defond, M.L., and Park.C.W. (2001), "The Reversal of Abnormal Accruals and the Market Valuation of Earnings Surprises", *The Accounting Review* 76, 375-404.
- Defond, M.L., and Subramanyam, K.R. (1998), "Auditor Changes and Discretionary Accruals", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 25, 35-67.
- Degeorge, F., Ding, Y., and Jeanjean, T., and Stolowy, H., (2005), "Does Analyst Following Curb Earnings Management"?,"*Les Cahiers De Recherche* 810, Groupe HEC.
- Degeorge, F., Patel, J., and Zeckhauser, R. (1999), "Earnings Management to Exceed Thresholds", *The Journal Of Business* 72 (2) 1-33.
- Delloitte Touche Tohmatsu (2008), "Use of IFRS by Jurisdiction. IFRS in Your Pocket 2008", *Available At [www.iasplus.com/Pocket2008](http://www.iasplus.com/Pocket2008)*
- Demsetz, H. (1983), "The Structure of Ownership and the Theory of the Firm", *Journal of Law and Economics* 26, 375-390.

- Demsetz, H., and Lehn, K. (1985), "The Structure of Corporate Ownership: Causes and Consequences", *Journal of Political Economy* 93, 1155-1177.
- Denis, D., and Denis, D. (1994), "Majority-Owner Managers and Organizational Efficiency", *Journal of Corporate Finance* 1, 91-118.
- Denis, D., Denis, D., and Sarin, A. (1997), "Agency Problems, Equity Ownership and Corporate Diversification", *Journal of Finance* 52, 135-160.
- Denis, D., D. Denis, and Sarin, A. (1997), "Ownership Structure and Top Executive Turnover", *Journal of Financial Economics* 45, 193-221.
- Dey, A. (2008), "Corporate Governance and Agency Conflicts", *Journal of Accounting Research* 46 (5), 1143 - 1181.
- Dhaliwal, D. (1980), "The Effect of the Firm's Capital Structure on the Choice of Accounting Methods", *The Accounting Review* 78-84.
- Dhaliwal, D., Subramanyam, K., and Trezevant, R. (1999), "Is Comprehensive Income Superior To Net Income As A Measure Of Performance"? *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 26, 43-67.
- Diamond, D.W. (1985), "Optimal Release of Information by Firms", *Journal of Finance* 40, 1071-1194.
- Dietrich, J.R., Muller, K.A., and Riedhi, E.J. (2007), "Asymmetric Timeliness Tests of Accounting Conservatism", *Review of Accounting Studies* 12, 95-124.
- Dimitropoulos, E.P. (2008), "Conservatism and Accruals: Are They Interactive? Evidence from the Greek Capital Market", *International Journal of Business and Management* 10,113-121.
- Dimitropoulos, E.P and Asteriou, D. (2009), "The Impact of Cash Flows and Accruals on Return Earnings Relation; Evidence from Greece", *Journal of Accounting Finance and Performance Evaluation*, 5 (4).
- Dimitrov, V., and Jain, P.C. (2006), "The Value Relevance in Changes in Financial Leverage", *SSRN Working Paper*.
- Ding, Y., Hope, O., Jeanjean, T., and Stolowy, H. (2007), "Differences between Domestic Accounting Standards and IAS: Measurement, Determinants and Implications", *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 26 (1), 1-38.
- Donaldson, L and Davis, J.H. (1991), "Stewardship Theory or Agency Theory: CEO Governance and Shareholder Returns", *Australian Journal of Management* 16, 49-64.
- Donnelly, R. (2002), "Earnings Persistence, Losses and Estimation of Earnings Response Coefficient" *ABACUS*, 38 (1), 121-133.
- Donnelly, R., and Lynch, C. (2002), "The Ownership Structure of the UK Firms and the Informativeness of Accounting Earnings", *Accounting and Business Research* 32 (4) 245-257.
- Donnelly, R, Mulcahy, M. (2008), "Board Structure, Ownership, and Voluntary Disclosure in Ireland", *Corporate Governance-An International Review*, Vol. 16, No.5, 416-429.
- Donnelly, R., and Walker, M. (1995), "Share Price Anticipation of Earnings and the Effect of Earnings Persistence and Firm Size", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 22, 5-18.
- Ducharme, L.L., Malatesta, and Sefcik, S.E. (2004), "Earnings Management, Stock Issues and Shareholder Lawsuits", *Journal of Financial Economics* 88,216-244.
- Dumontier, P., and Raffournier, B. (2002), "Accounting and Capital Markets: A Survey of the European Evidence", *The European Review* 11, 199-151.
- Dumontier, P., And Maghraoui, R. (2006), "Adoption Volontaire Des Ifrasymétried'information Et Fourchettes De Prix: L'impact Du Contexte Informationnel. (French)", *Comptabilité Contrôle Audit*, 12 (2), 27-48.
- Dye, R.A. (1988), "Earnings Management in an Overlapping Generation's Model", *Journal of Accounting Research* 26 (2), 195-235.
- Easterbrook, F. (1984), "Two Agency-Cost Explanations of Dividends", *American Economic Review* 74, 650-659.
- Easterbrook, F., and Fischel, D. (1991), "The Economic Structure of Corporate Law", *Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass.*
- Easton, P.D., and Harris, T. (1991), "Earnings As an Explanatory Variable for Returns", *Journal of Accounting Research* 29, 19-36.
- Easton, P.D., Harris, T., and Ohlson, J. (1992), "Aggregate Accounting Earnings Can Explain Most Security Returns: The Case Of Long Event Windows", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 15, 119-141.
- Easton, P.D., Shroff, P. and Taylor, G. (2000), "Permanent and Transitory Earnings, Accounting Recording Lag, and the Earnings Coefficient", *Review of Accounting Studies* 5, 281-300.
- Easton, P.D., and Sommers, G.A. (2003), "Scale and Scale Effect in Market Based Accounting Research", *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting* 30 (1&2).
- Easton, P., and Zmijewski, M. (1989), "Crosssectional Variation in the Stock Market Response to Accounting Earnings Announcements", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 2, 117-1.
- Ebrahim, A. (2007), "Earnings Management and Board Activity: Additional Evidence", *Review of Accounting*

- and Finance* 6 (1), 42-58.
- Ewert, R., and Wagenhofer, A. (2005), "Economic Effects of Tightening Accounting Standards To Restrict Earnings Management", *The Accounting Review* 43 (4) 1101-1124.
- Faccio, M., and Lasfer, M.A. (2000), "Do Occupational Pension Funds Monitor Companies In Which They Hold Large Stakes"? *Journal of Corporate Finance* 6, 71– 110.
- Faleye, O. (2007), "Classified Boards, Firm Value, And Managerial Entrenchment", *Journal of Financial Economics* 83, 501-529.
- Fama, E. (1980), "Agency Problems and the Theory of the Firm", *The Journal of Political Economy* 88, 288-307.
- Fama, E., and Blalock, H. (1968), "Dividend Policy: An Empirical Analysis", *American Statistical Association Journal* 63.
- Fama, E., and French, K. (1998), "Taxes, Financial Decisions and Firm Value", *Journal of Finance* 5 (3), 819-843.
- Fama, E., and Jensen, M. (1983), "Separation of Ownership and Control", *The Journal of Law and Economics*, 26, 301-325.
- Fama, E., and Jensen, M. (1983), "Agency Problems and Residual Claims", *Journal of Law and Economics* 26, 327-349.
- Fan, J., and Wong, T.L. (2002), "Corporate Ownership Structure and the Informativeness of Accounting Earnings in East Asia", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 33, 401–425.
- Farinha, J. (2003), "Corporate Governance: A Survey of the Literature", *Working Paper*.
- Fields, T., Rangan, S., and Thiagarajan, R. (1998), "An Empirical Evaluation of Usefulness of Non GAAP Accounting Measures in the Real Estate Investment Trust Industry", *Review of Accounting Studies*, 3, 103-130.
- Finger, C. (1994), "The Ability of Earnings to Predict Future Earnings and Cash Flow", *Journal of Accounting Research* 32, 210-223.
- Figelman, I. (2007), "Interaction of Stock Returns Momentum with Earnings Measures", *Financial Analysts Journal* 63, 71-78.
- Finkelstein, S., and D'Aveni, R. (1994), "CEO Duality as Double Edged Sword: How Boards of Directors Balance Entrenchment Avoidance and Utility Command", *Academy of Management Journal* 37 (5), 1079-1108.
- Financial Reporting Council Ltd. (2006), "The United Kingdom Approach to Corporate Governance", *London, United Kingdom*.
- Financial Reporting Council Ltd. (2010), "The Combined Code on Corporate Governance", *Financial Reporting Council Ltd, London, United Kingdom*.
- Firth, M., Fung, P., and Riu, O. (2007), "Ownership, Two Tier Board Structure, and the Informativeness of Earnings, Evidence from China", *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* 26, 463-496.
- Firth, M., Fung, P., and Rui, O. (2006), "Ownership, Board Structure, and the Informativeness of Earnings: Evidence from an Emerging Market", *SSRN Working Paper*.
- Francis, J., LaFond, R., Olsson, P., and Schipper, K. (2005). The Market Pricing of Accruals Quality. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 39, 295-327.
- Francis, J.R. and Maydew, E.L. and Sparks, H.C. (1999). The Role Of Big 6 Auditors In Credible Reporting Of Accruals, *Auditing: A Journal Of Practice And Theory*, Vol. 18. 17-35.
- Francis, J., Schipper, K., and Vincent, L. (2005). Earnings and Dividend Informativeness When Cash Flow Rights Are Separated from Voting Rights. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 39, 329-360.
- Francis, J., and Wang, D. (2008). The Joint Effect of Investor Protection and the Big Four Audits on Earnings Quality around the World. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, Vol. 25, 157-191.
- Gabrielsen, G., Gramlich, J., and Plenborg, T. (2002). Managerial Ownership, Information Content of Earnings, And Discretionary Accruals in Non US Setting. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, Vol. 29, No. 7&8, 967-988.
- Gannon, D., and Ashwal, A. (2004), "Financial Reporting Goes Global", *Journal of Accountancy* 198 (3), 43-51.
- Garcia Lara, J.M., Garcia Osma, B., and Penalva, F. (2009), "Accounting Conservatism and Corporate Governance", *Rev Account Stud* 14, 161-201.
- Garvey, G.T. and Swan, T.L. (1994), "The Economics of Corporate Governance: Beyond the Merckallian Firm", *Journal of Corporate Finance* 1, 139-174.
- Gassen, J., and Sellhorn, T. (2006), "Applying IFRS in Germany – Determinants and Consequences", *Betriebswirtschaftliche Forschung Und Praxis* 58, 365–386.
- Gaver, J., Gaver, K., and Austin, J. (1995), "Additional Evidence on Bonus Plans and Income Management", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 19 (8), 3-28.
- Gavious, I. (2007), "Market Reaction to Earnings Management: The Incremental Contribution to Analysts", *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, 1450-2887.



- Gibbons, M., Richardson, A.J., and Waterhouse, J. (1990), "The Management of Corporate Financial Disclosure: Opportunism, Ritualism, Policies and Processes", *Journal of Accounting Research*, 32,251-263.
- Goel, A.M., and Thakor, A.V. (2003), "Why Do Firms' Smooth Earnings", *Journal of Business* 7, (10).
- Gomez-Mejia, L., Wiseman, R.M and Dykes, B.J. (2005), "Agency Problems in Diverse Contexts: A Global Perspective", *Journal of Management Studies* 42 (7).
- Goncharov, I., and Zimmermann, J. (2006), "Do Accounting Standards Influence the Level of Earnings Management? Evidence from Germany", *Working Paper*.
- Gordon, M.J. (1964), "Postulates, Principles and Research in Accounting", *The Accounting Review* 39, (2), 251.-263.
- Graham, J.R., Campbell R. H., and Rajgopal, S. (2005), "The Economic Implications of Corporate Financial Reporting", *The Journal of Accounting and Economics* 40 (1-3) 3-73.
- Greenbury Committee Report. (1995), "Report On Directors Remuneration", *Gee Publishing London, United Kingdom*.
- Gregg, P., S. Machin and Szymanski, S. (1993), "The Disappearing Relationship between Directors Pay and Corporate Performance", *British Journal of Industrial Relations* 31, 1-10.
- Grossman, S., and Hart, O. (1980), "Takeover Bids, the Free Rider Problem and the Theory of the Corporation", *Bell Journal of Economics* 11, 42-64.
- Grossman, S., and Hart, O. (1986), "The Costs and Benefits of Ownership: A Theory of Vertical and Lateral Integration", *Journal of Political Economy* 94, 691-719.
- Gu, Z. (2001), "Scale Factor and  $R^2$ : Further Analysis", *Working Paper, Carnegie Mellon University*.
- Gu, Z. (2001), "It's Time To Stop Comparing  $R^2$  s Across Samples: Additional Evidence On Value Relevance Changes Over Time", *Working Paper, Carnegie Mellon University*.
- Gujarati, N.D. (1995), "Basic Econometrics", 5th Edition.
- Gul, F., Lynn, S., and, Tsui, J. (2002), "Audit Quality, Management Ownership and the Informativeness Of Accounting Earnings", *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance* 17 25-49.
- Gul, F.A., Chen, C and Tsui, J. (2003), "Discretionary Accounting Accruals, Managers' Incentives and Audit Fees", *Contemporary Accounting Research* 20, 441-464.
- Gul, F and Tsui, J. (2001), "Free Cash Flow, Debt Monitoring And Audit Pricing: Further Evidence On The Role Of Director Equity Ownership", *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*.
- Guay, W.R., Kothari, S.P., and Watts, R.L. (1996), "A Market Based Evaluation of Discretionary Accruals Models", *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol. 34.
- Habib, A. (2010), "Value Relevance of Alternative Accounting Performance Measures: Australian Evidence", *Accounting Research Journal* 23 (2), 190 – 212.
- Habib, A., and Azim, I. (2008), "Corporate Governance and Value Relevance of Accounting Information: Evidence from Australia", *Accounting Research Journal* 21 (2), 167-194.
- Haghighat, H., and Raigan. (2009), "Investigating the Effect of Income Smoothing on the Information Content of Current Earnings about Predicting Future Earnings", *The Iranian Accounting and Auditing Review*, Vol. 15, No. 54, 33-46.
- Hampel, R. (1998), "Committee on Corporate Governance: Final Report", *Gee Publishing, London United Kingdom*.
- Hand, J.R.M. (2002), "Discussion of Earnings Surprises, Growth Expectations, and Stock Returns, or, Don't Let Earnings Torpedo Sink Your Portfolio", *Review of Accounting* 7,313-318.
- Hanna, J.D. (2002), "Conservatism of Accounting Measurement", *Working Paper, University Of Chicago*.
- Harris, T.S., Lang.M., and Moller,H.P.(1994) "The Value Relevance of German Accounting Measures: An Empirical Analysis", *Journal of Accounting Research* 32, 187-209.
- Hart, O. (1995), "Corporate Governance: Some Theory and Implications", *The Economic Journal* 105, 678-689.
- Hart, O (1995), "Firms, Contracts and Financial Structure", *London, United Kingdom, Oxford University Press*.
- Haw, I., B. Hu, Hwang, L. and Wu. W. (2004), "Ultimate Ownership, Income Management, and Legal and Extra-Legal Institutions", *Journal of Accounting Research* 42, 423–462.
- Hawkins, D.M. (1980), "Identification of Outliers", *London: Chapman and Hall*
- Hayn, C. (1995), "The Information Content of Losses", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 20, 125-153.
- Healy.P. (1985), "The Impact of Bonus Schemes on the Selection of Accounting Principles", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 85-107.
- Healy, P., and Palepu, K. (1993), "The Effects of Firms' Financial Disclosure Strategies on Stock Prices", *Accounting Horizons* 7, 1-11.
- Healy, P., and Palepu, K. (1995), "The Effects of Firms' Financial Disclosure Policies on the Stock Prices.", *Accounting Horizons* 1-11.
- Healy, P., and Wahlen, J. (1999), "A Review of the Earnings Management Literature and Its Implications for Standard Setting", *Accounting Horizons*, 13 (4), 365-384.

- Hermalin, B., and M. Weisbach, M. (1988), "The Determinants of Board Composition", *Rand Journal of Economics* 19, 589-606.
- Hermalin, B., and Weisbach, M. (1991), "The Effects of Board Composition and Direct Incentives on Firm Performance", *Financial Management* 20, 101-112.
- Higgs, D. Report. (2003), "Review of the Role and Effectiveness of Non Executive Directors".
- Hodgson, A., and Clarke, P. (2000), "Earnings, Cash Flows and Returns: Functional Relations and Impact of Firm Size", *Accounting and Finance* 40, 51-73.
- Holthausen, R. (2009), "Accounting Standards, Financial Reporting Outcomes, and Enforcements", *Journal of Accounting Research*, 475-679.
- Holthausen, R., Larcker, D., and Sloan, R. (1995), "Annual Bonus Schemes and the Manipulation of Earnings", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 19, 29-74.
- Holthausen, R., Larcker, D., and Sloan, R. (1995), "Annual Bonus Schemes and the Manipulation of Earnings", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 19, 29-74.
- Horton, J., and Serafiem, G. (2007), "Market Reaction and Valuation of IFRS Reconciliations Adjustments: First Evidence From The UK", *Working Paper*.
- Horton, J., Serafiem, G., and Serafiem, I. (2008), "Does Mandatory IFRS Adoption Improve The Environment?" *Harvard Business School Working Paper* 1264101.
- Horton, J., and Serafeim, G. (2006), "Market Response to and the Value Relevance of Reconciliation Adjustments from UK GAAP to IFRS GAAP: First Evidence from the UK", *Working Paper*.
- Hsu, G., and Koh, P. (2005), "Does The Presence of Institutional Investors Influence Accruals Management? Evidence from Australia", *Blackwell Publishing* 13 (6).
- Hung, M., and Subramanyam, K.R. (2007), "Financial Statement Effects of Adopting International Accounting Standards: The Case of Germany", *Review of Accounting Studies*.
- Iturrianga, F., and Hoffmann. (2005), "Earnings Management and Internal Mechanisms of Corporate Governance: Empirical Evidence from Chilean Firms", *Corporate Ownership and Control* 3 (1).
- Jacobs, M. (1991), "Short Term America: The Causes and Cures of Our Business Myopia", *Boston, M.A: Harvard Business School Press*.
- Jackling, B. and Johl, S. (2009), "Board Structure and Firm Performance: Evidence from India's Top Companies", *Corporate Governance: An International Review*.
- Jaggi, B., and Lee, P. (2002), "Earnings Management Response to Debt Covenant Violations and Debt Restructuring", *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance* 17(4).
- Jarrell, M. G. (1994), "A Comparison of Two Procedures, the Mahalanobis Distance and the Andrews-Pregibon Statistic, For Identifying Multivariate Outliers", *Research in the Schools* 1, 49-58.
- Jensen, M. (1983), "Presidential Address: The Modern Industrial Revolution, Exit and the Failure of Internal Control Systems", *Journal of Finance* 48, 831-880.
- Jensen, M. (1989), "Eclipse of the Public Corporation", *Harvard Business Review* 67.
- Jensen, M.C and Meckling, W. (1976), "Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behaviour, Agency Costs and the Capital Structure", *Journal of Financial Economics* 3, 305-360.
- Jermakowicz, Eva. K., Prather-Kinsey, Jenice, and Ingeborg W. (2007), "The Value Relevance of Accounting Income Reported By DAX-30 German Companies", *Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting* 18 (3).
- Jiambalvo, J. (1996), "Discussion and Causes and Consequences of Earnings Manipulation: An Analysis of Firms Subject To Enforcement Actions by the SEC", *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 371-403.
- Jiambalvo, J., and Subramanyam, K. (1998), "The Effect of Audit Quality on Earnings Management. *Contemporary Accounting Research* 15 1, 1-24.
- Jiang, W., Lee, P., and Anandarajan, A. (2008), "The Association between Corporate Governance and Earnings Quality: Further Evidence Using GOV-Score", *Advances in Accounting, Incorporating Advances in International Accounting*, 24, 191-201.
- Jindrichovska, I. (2001), "The Relationship between Accounting Numbers and Returns: Some Empirical Evidence from the Emerging Market of the Czech Republic", *Working Paper*.
- Jiraporn, P., Miller, Yoon, S., Kim, S. (2008), "Is Earnings Management Opportunistic Or Beneficial? An Agency Theory Perspective", *International Review of Financial Analysis* 17, 622-634.
- John, K., and Sebet, L. (1998), "Corporate Governance and Board Effectiveness", *Journal of Banking and Finance* 22, 371-403.
- Jones, J. (1991), "Earnings Management during Import Relief Investigations. *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol.9, No.2, 193-223.
- Jones, K.L., Krishnan.V.G and Melendrez, D.K. (2010), "Do Models of Discretionary Accrual Detect Actual Cases of Fraudulent and Restated Earnings?" *Contemporary Accounting Research* 25, No.2.
- Kallunki, J.P. and Martikainen, T. (1997), "The Lead-Lag Structure of Stock Returns and Accounting Earnings:

- Implications to the Returns-Earnings Relation in Finland”, *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 6, (1), 37-47.
- Kapopoulos, P., and Lazaretto. (2008), “Does Corporate Ownership Structure Matter For Economic Growth? A Cross Country Analysis”, *Journal For Managerial and Decision Economics*.
- Kanagaretnam, K., and Lobo, G., and Whalen, D. (2007), “Does Good Corporate Governance Reduce Information Asymmetry around Quarterly Earnings Announcements”? *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* 26,497-522.
- Kasanen, E., Kinnunen, J., and Niskanen. (1996), “Dividend Based Earnings Management: Empirical Evidence from Finland”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 22,283-312.
- Karamanou, I., Vafeas, N. (2005), “The Association between Corporate Boards, Audit Committees, and the Management of Earnings Forecasts: An Empirical Analysis”, *Journal of Accounting Research* 43 ( 3).
- Kaserer, C., and Klinger, C. (2008), “The Accrual Anomaly under Different Accounting Standards – Lessons Learned From the German Experiment”, *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting* 35 (7& 8) 837-859.
- Kesner, I.F. and Johnson, B. (1990), “An Investigation of the Relationship between Board Composition and Stockholder Suits”, *Strategic Management Journal* 11 (4) 327-336.
- Kiel, G and Nicholson, G. (2003), “Board Composition and Corporate Performance: How the Australian Experience Informs Contrasting Theories of Corporate Governance”, *Corporate Governance* 2 (3), 189-205.
- Kim, Y., Liu, C., and Rhee, S.G. (2003), “The Relation of Earnings Management to Firm Size”, *Working Paper, University of Hawaii*.
- Kim, J., and Yi, C. (2006), “Ownership Structure and Business Group Affiliation, Listing Status and Earnings Management: Evidence from Korea”, *Contemporary Accounting Research* 23 (2), 427-464.
- Klein, A. (1998), “Firm Performance and Board Committee Structure”, *Journal of Law and Economics* 41, 137-165.
- Klein, A. (2000), “CEO Power, Board Independence and CEO Compensation: Empirical Investigation”, *Working Paper*.
- Klein A. (2002), “Determinants of Audit Committee Independence”, *Accounting Review* 77 (2), 435-453.
- Klein, A. (2002), “Audit Committee, Board of Director Characteristics, And Earnings Management”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 33 (3) 375-400.
- Koh, P. (2007), “Institutional Investors Type, Earnings Management and Benchmark Beaters”, *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* 26, 267-299.
- Kokoszka, R.J. (2003), “Recognizing The Signs: Internal Auditors Can Help Organizations Avoid The Risk Associated With Inappropriate Earnings Management By Understanding The Symptoms And Sharing Their Knowledge”, *Internal Auditor*, 64-7.
- Kormendi, R., and Lipe, R. (1987), “Earnings Innovations, Earnings Persistence and Stock Returns”, *The Journal of Business* 60 (3), 323-345.
- Kothari, S. (1992), “Price Earnings Regressions In The Presence Of Prices Leading Earnings Level versus Change Specifications and Alternative Deflators”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 15,173-302.
- Kothari, S. (2000), “The Role of Financial Reporting In Reducing Financial Risks in the Market”, *Working Paper*.
- Kothari, S. (2001), “Capital Markets Research in Accounting”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 31(1)3,105-232.
- Kothari, S.P., Leone, A.J. and Wasley, C.E. (2005), “Performance Matched Discretionary Accrual Measures”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 39, 163-197.
- Kothari, S., and Sloan, R. (1992), “Information in Prices about Future Earnings Implications for Earnings Response Coefficient”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 15, 143-172.
- Kothari, S., and Zimmerman, J. (1995), “Price Returns Models”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 20,155-192.
- Krasniqi, M., And Bettcher, E. (2008), “Corporate Governance for Emerging Markets”, *Centre for International Private Enterprise, REFORM Toolkit Washington USA*.
- Krishman, V.G. (2003), “Audit Quality and the Pricing of Discretionary Accruals”, *Auditing a Journal of Practice and Theory*.
- Kung, A.F., Ting, C., James, K. (2008), “Accounting Conservatism in Greater China. The Influence of Institutions and Incentives”, *Asian Review of Accounting* 16 (2), 134-148.
- Lafond, R. (2005), “Is the Accrual Anomaly a Global Anomaly? MIT Sloan School of Management”, *Working Paper*.
- Laing, D. And Weir, C. (1999), “Governance Structures, Size and Corporate Performance in the United Kingdom Firms”, *Management Decisions* 37, 457-465.
- Lang, M., Raedy, J., and Yetman, M. (2003), “How Representative Are Firms That Are Cross-Listed In The

- United States? An Analysis of Accounting Quality”, *Journal of Accounting Research* 41 (2), 363-386.
- La Porta, R., Lopez-De Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., Vishny, R. (1998), “Law and Finance”, *Journal of Political Economy* 106, 1113-1155.
- Larker, D., Richardson, S., Tuna, I. (2005), “Corporate Governance: How Important Is It”, *Working Paper*.
- Larker, D., Richardson, S., and Tuna, I. (2007), “Corporate Governance, Accounting Outcomes, and Organizational Performance”, *The Accounting Review* 82, 963-1008.
- Larson, K.R and Street, L.D. (2004), “Convergence with IFRS in an Expanding Europe: Progress and Obstacles Identified By Large Accounting Firms’ Survey”, *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation* 13, 89-119.
- Lawler, E., Finegold, D., Benson, G., and Andconger, J. (2002), “Corporate Boards: Keys to Effectiveness”, *Organizational Dynamics* 30 (4), 301-324.
- Lee, C.J., Li, L.Y and Yue, H. (2006), “Performance, Growth and Earnings Management”, *Rev Acc, Stud*, 11, 305-334.
- Leuz, C., Nanda, D., and Wysocki, P. (2003), “Earnings Management and Investor Protection: An International Comparison”, *Journal of Financial Economics*, 505-527.
- Leuz, C., and Wysocki, P. (2008), “Economic Consequences of Financial Reporting and Disclosure Recognition: A Review and Suggestions for Future Research”, *Working Paper*, Booth School of Business, University Of Chicago.
- Leuz, C. and Verrecchia, R.E. (2000), “The Economic Consequences of Increased Disclosure”, *Journal of Accounting Research* 38, 91-136.
- Lev, B. (1989), “On the Usefulness of Earnings and Earnings Research: Lessons and Directions from Two Decades of Empirical Research”, *Journal of Accounting Research* 27, 153-201.
- Lev, B., and Zarowin, P. (1999), “The Boundaries of Financial Reporting and How to Extend Them”, *Journal of Accounting Research* 37, 353-385.
- Levit, A. (1998), “The Numbers Game”, *NYU Centre for Law and Business*, New York, NY, Available At: [www.sec.gov/news/speech/speecharchive/1998](http://www.sec.gov/news/speech/speecharchive/1998).
- Li, J.W., Li, J.F and Yang, J.S. (2006), “The Effect of Audit Committee Performance on Earnings Quality”, *Managerial Auditing Journal* 21 (9), 921-9330.
- Li, S. (2010), “Does Mandatory Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards In The European Union Reduce The Cost of Equity Capital”? *The Accounting Review* 85(2), 607-636.
- Lipe, R. (1986), “The Information Contained in the Components of Earnings”, *Journal of Accounting Research* 24, 37-64.
- Lipton, M., and Lorsch, J. (1992), “A Modest Proposal for Improved Corporate Governance”, *Business Lawyer*, 48 (1), 59-78.
- Lin, H., and Paananen, M. (2008), “The Effect of Financial Systems on Earnings Management among Firms Reporting Under IFRS”, *Working Paper*.
- Lo, K. (2008), “Earnings Management and Earnings Quality”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 45, 350-357.
- Lobo, G.J and Zhou. (2001), “Disclosure Quality and Earnings Management”, *Asia Pacific Journal of Accounting and Economics* 8 (1), 1-20.
- Lorsch, J., and E., Mciver. (1989), “Pawns or Potentates: The Reality of America’s Corporate Boards”, *Harvard Business School Press*, Boston, MA.
- Louis, H. (2004), “Earnings Management and the Market Performance of Acquiring Firms”, *Journal of Financial Economics* 74,121-148.
- Lubberink, M. J. P. (2000), “Financial Statement Information: The Impact of Investors and Managers”, *University Of Groningen*.
- Mace, R. (1986), “Directors: Myth and Reality”, *Harvard University*, Boston, MA.
- Magee, R.P And Tseng, M. (1990), “Audit Pricing and Independence”, *Accounting Review* 65 (2), 315-366.
- Magrath, L., Weld, L.G. (2002), “Abusive Earnings Management and Early Warnings Signs”, *The CPA Journal*, 50-55.
- Maher, M., and Anderson,T. (2000), “Corporate Governance: Effects on Firm Performance and Economic Growth”, *OECD Working Paper*.
- Mallin, C. (1997), “Investors’ Voting Rights”, In Keasey, K. And M. Wright (Eds.) “Corporate Governance: Responsibilities, Risks and Remuneration”, *John Wiley and Sons*.
- Malinvaud, E. (1980), “Statistical Methods of Econometrics”, *North Holland Publishing Company* (Amsterdam and New York).
- Marquardt, A.C., and Wiedman, I.C., (2004), “The Effect of Earnings Management on the Value Relevance of Accounting Information”, *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting* 31, (3&4).
- Martikainen, M. (1993), “Stock Returns and Classification Pattern of Firm-Specific Financial Variables.



- Empirical Evidence with Finnish Data”, *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting* 20, 537-557.
- Martikainen, T., Kallunki, J. and Perttunen, J. (1997), “Finnish Earnings Response Coefficients: The Information Content of Losses”, *The European Accounting Review* 6 (1), 69-81.
- Mashayekhi, B., Mehrani, S., Mehrani, K., and Karami, G. (2006), “The Role of Discretionary Accruals in Earnings Management of Listed Companies in Tehran Stock Exchange”, *Iranian Accounting And Auditing Review* 12 (42), 61-74.
- McConnell, J., and Servaes, H. (1990), “Additional Evidence on Equity Ownership and Corporate Value”, *Journal of Financial Economics* 27, 595-612.
- McConnell J., and Servaes, H. (1995), “Equity Ownership and the Two Faces of Debt”, *Journal of Financial Economics* 39, 131-157.
- McMullen, D. (1994), “Audit Committee Quality”, *Pennsylvania CPA Journal* 65, 18-37.
- McNichols, M. (2000), “Research Design Issues in Earnings Management Studies”, *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* 19, 313-345.
- McNichols, M., and Wilson, G.P. (1988), “Evidence of Earnings Management from the Provision for Bad Debts”, *Journal of Accounting Research* 26 (Supplement), 1-31.
- Mcvay, S.E. (2006), “A Cross- Firm Analysis of the Impact of Corporate Governance on the East Asian Financial Crisis”, *Journal of Financial Economics* 64,215-241.
- Mehrani, K., and Arefmanesh, Z. (2008), “Surveying Income Smoothing in Tehran Stock Exchange”, *Iranian Accounting and Auditing Review* 15 (51), 37-56.
- Michelson, S.E., Wagner, J.J., and Wootton, C. (2000), “The Relationship between the Smoothing of Reported Income and Risk Adjusted Return”, *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting* 22 (8) 1179-1193.
- Millstein, I. (1992), “The Limits of Corporate Power: Existing Constraints on the Exercise of Corporate Discretion”, *New York: Macmillan*.
- Monks, R.A. G. and Minnow, N. (1995), “Corporate Governance”, Cambridge (USA), Oxford (UK): *Blackwell Publishers*.
- Morck, R., Shleifer, A.,and Vishny,R.(1990), “Do Managerial Objectives Drive Bad Acquisitions”? *Journal of Finance* 45, 31-48.
- Morck, R., Shleifer, A., and Vishny, R. (1988), “Managerial Ownership and Market Valuation”, *Journal of Financial Economics* 20, 293-315.
- Morsfield, G. S., and Tan.C. (2006), “Do Venture Capitalists Influence the Decision to Manage Earnings in Initial Public Offerings”? *The Accounting Review* 81 (5), 1119–1150.
- Moyer, S.E. (1990), “Capital Adequacy Ratio Regulations and Accounting Choices in Commercial Banks”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 13 (2), 123-154.
- Mulgrew, M., and Forker, J. (2006), “Independent Non Executive Directors and Earnings Management in the UK”, *The Irish Accounting Review* 13 (2), 35-62.
- Nelson, K. (2000), “Rate Regulation, Competition and Loss Reserve Discounting by Property-Casualty Insurers”, *The Accounting Review* 75 (1), 115-138.
- Nelson, M.S., Elliott, J.A., and Tarpley, R.L. (2002), “Evidence From Auditors About Managers’ and Auditors’ EM Decisions”, *The Accounting Review* 77, 175-202.
- Newman, H., and Wright, D. (1995), “Compensation Committee and Its Influence on CEO Compensation Practices” *Working Paper*.
- Ng, A.C., Yaw, M and Mensah. (2010), “The Role of Corporate Governance during Pre and Post Sarbanes Oxley Periods”, *International Journal of Corporate Governance* 2 (1), 58-86.
- Niu, F.F. (2006), “Corporate Governance and the Quality of Accounting Earnings: A Canadian Perspective”, *International Journal of Managerial Finance* 2, 302-327.
- Nobes, C., and Parker, R. (2006), “Comparative International Accounting”, 9th Edition U.K. Prentice Hall.
- Ohlson,J.(1991), “The Theory of Value and Earnings and an Introduction to the Ball Brown Analysis”, *Contemporary Accounting Research* 11,661-687.
- Ohlson, J. (1995), “Earnings, Book Values, and Dividends in Equity Valuation”, *Contemporary Accounting Research* 11, 661-687.
- Ohlson, J., and Penman, S. (1992), “Disaggregated Accounting Data as an Explanatory Variable for Returns”, *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance* 7, 553-573.
- Ohlson, J., and Shroff, P. (1992), “Changes versus Levels in Earnings as Explanatory Variables for Returns: Some Theoretical Considerations”, *Journal of Accounting Research* 30, 210-226.
- Oman, C., Fries, S., and Buiters, W. (2003), “Corporate Governance in Developing, Transition and Emerging Market Economies”, *OECD Development Centre Policy* 23.
- Ormrod, P., Taylor, P. (2004), “The Impact of the Change to International Accounting Standards on Debt Covenants: A UK Perspective”, *Accounting in Europe* 1, 71-94.
- Ortega, W.R., and Grant, G.H. (2003), “Maynard Manufacturing: An Analysis of GAAP-Based and Operational

- Earning Management Techniques”, *Strategic Finance* 50-60.
- Osborne, Jason W. and Overbay, A. (2004), “The Power of Outliers (and Why Researchers Should Always Check for Them)”, *Practical Assessment, Research And Evaluation* 9 (6).
- Ota, K. (2001), “The Impact of Valuation Models On the Value Relevance Studies in Accounting: A Review of Theory and Evidence, Australian National University, *Working Paper*.
- Ou, J., and Penman, S. (1989), “Financial Statement Analysis and the Prediction of Stock Returns”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 11, 295-329.
- Ou, J., and Penman, S. (1989), “Accounting Measurement, Price Earnings Ratios and the Information Content of Security Prices”, *Journal of Accounting Research* 27, 111-152.
- Paananen, M. (2008), “The IFRS Adoption’s Effect on Accounting Quality in Sweden”, *Working Paper*.
- Paananen, H., and Lin, C. (2008), “The Development of Accounting Quality of IAS and IFRS over Time: The Case of Germany”, *Working Paper*.
- Paananen, M., and Parmaer, N. (2008), “The Adoption of IFRS in the UK”, *Working Paper*.
- Pae, J., Thorton, D., and Welker, M. (2005), “The Link between Earnings Conservatism and Price to Book Ratio”, *Contemporary Accounting Research* 22, 693-717.
- Paek, W.D. (1995), “Stock Market Response to Discretionary Accruals; Contracting Theory Perspective: *Working Papers*.
- Paglieti., and Paola.(2009), “Earnings Management, Timely Loss Recognition and Value Relevance in Europe Following the IFRS Mandatory Adoption: Evidence from Italian Listed Companies”, *Economia A Zendale Online* 4, 97-117.
- Park, Y., and Shin, Y. (2004), “Board Composition and Earnings Management in Canada”, *Journal of Corporate Finance* 10, 431-457.
- Pass, C. (2006), “The Revised Combined Code and Corporate Governance: An Empirical Survey of the 50 Large UK Companies”, *Managerial Law*, 48 (5), 467-478.
- Peasnell, P., Pope, P., Young, S. (1998), “Outside Directors, Board Effectiveness and Earnings Management”, *Working Paper*.
- Peasnell, P., K.V, Pope, P.F and Young, S. (2000), “Accrual Management to Meet Earnings Targets: UK Evidence Pre and Post Cadbury”, *British Accounting Review* 32, 415-445.
- Peasnell, P., Pope, P., and Young, S. (2000), “Detecting Earnings Management Using Cross Sectional Abnormal Accruals Models”, *Accounting and Business Research* 30, 313-326.
- Peasnell, P., Pope, P., Young, S. (2003), “Managerial Equity Ownership and the Demand for Outside Directors”, *European Financial Management* 9 (2), 99-118.
- Peasnell, P., Pope, P., and Young, S. (2005), “Board Monitoring and Earnings Management: Do Outside Directors’ Influence Abnormal Accruals”? *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting* 32, 1311-1346.
- Peasnell, P., Pope, P., and Young, S. (2006), “Do Outside Directors Limit Earnings Management”? *Corporate Finance Review* 10.
- Penman, S. (1980) “An Empirical Investigation of the Voluntary Disclosure of Corporate Earnings Forecasts”, *Journal of Accounting Research*, 157-180.
- Penman, S.H. (2007), “Financial Reporting Quality: Is Fair Value A Plus Or A Minus”? *Accounting and Business Research*, Special Issue, 33-44.
- Petra, T.S. (2007), “The Effect of Corporate Governance on the Informativeness of Earnings”, *Economics of Governance* 8, 129-152.
- Petroni, K. (1992), “Optimistic Reporting in the Property-Casualty Insurance Industry”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 15 (4), 485-508.
- Petroni, K.R., Ryan, S.G., and Whalen, J.M. (2000), “Discretionary and Non-Discretionary Revisions of Loss Reserves by Property-Casualty Insurers: Differential Implications for Future Profitability, Risk and Market Value”, *Review of Accounting Studies* 5 (2), 95-125.
- Pfeffer, J., and Salancik, G.R (1978), “The External Control of Organizations: A Resource Dependence Perspective”, New York, NY. *Harper and Row*.
- Piot C., and Janin, R. (2005), “Audit Quality and Earnings Management in France”, *Working Paper*.
- Pincus, M., Rajgopal, S., Venkatachalam, M. (2007), “The Accrual Anomaly: International Evidence”, *The Accounting Review* 81, 169-204.
- Phan, P.H., and Yoshikawa, T. (2000), “Agency Theory and Japanese Corporate Governance”, *Asia Pacific Journal of Management* 17, 1-27.
- Platikanova, P., and Nobes, C. (2006), “Was The Introduction of IFRS in Europe Value Relevant”? *Working Paper*.
- Pope, P., and Walker, M. (1999), “International Differences in the Timeliness, Conservatism, and Classification Of Earnings”, *Journal of Accounting Research* 37, 53-87.

- Potter, G. (1992), "Accounting Earnings Announcements, Institutional Investor Concentration, and Common Stock Returns", *Journal of Accounting Research* 30 146-155.
- Pound, J. (1988), "Proxy Contexts and the Efficiency of Shareholder Oversight", *Journal of Financial Economics* 20.237-265.
- Pourciau, S. (1993), "Earnings Management and Non Routine Executive Changes", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 16, 317-336.
- Pourheydari, O., and Aflatooni, A. (2006), "The Investigation of Incentives of Income Smoothing In the Tehran Stock Exchange", *Iranian Accounting and Review* 13 (44), 55-70.
- Pratt, J.W., and Zeckhauser, R.J. (1985), "Principals and Agents: The Structure of Business", *Boston, Harvard Business School Press*.
- Qi, Z.C., Subramanyam, K.R., and Zhang, J. (2010), "Accrual Quality, Bond Liquidity and Cost of Debt", *Working Paper, Marshal Business School, University of California*.
- Raffournior, B. (2008), "The Implementation of IFRS in Europe: Some Preliminary Evidence. University Of Geneva", *Seminar Paper*.
- Rajgopal, S., Venkatachalam, M., and Jiambalvo, J. (1999), "Is Institutional Ownership Associated with Earnings Management and the Extent to Which Stock Prices Reflect Future Earnings"? *University of Washington*.
- Ramakrissnan, R., and Thomas, R. (1998), "Valuation of Permanent Transitory and Price Irrelevant Components of Reported Earnings", *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance* 13.
- Ramsey, I., and Blair, M. (1993), "Ownership Concentration, Institutional Investment and Corporate Governance: Empirical Investigation of 100 Australian Companies", *Melbourne University Law Review* 19, 153-194.
- Rasmussen, J. L. (1988), "Evaluating Outlier Identification Tests: Mahalanobis D Squared And Comrey D", *Multivariate Behavioural Research* 23 (2) 189-202.
- Rees, W. (1997), "The Impact of Dividends, Debt and Investment on Valuation Models", *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting* 24 (7&8), 1111-1140.
- Rees, W. (1999), "Influences on the Value Relevance of Equity and Net Income in the UK", *University Of Glasgow Working Paper*.
- Rees, L., Gill, S., and Gore, R. (1996), "An Investigation of Asset Write-Downs and Concurrent Abnormal Accruals", *Journal of Accounting Research* 34 (Supplement), 157-170.
- Renneboog, L. (2000), "Ownership, Managerial Control and the Governance of Companies Listed On the Brussels Stock Exchange", *Journal of Banking and Finance* 24, (12), 1959-1995.
- Ronen, J., and Sadan, S. (1981), "Smoothing Income Numbers: Objectives, Means, and Implications", *Addison-Wesley Publishing Company*.
- Ronen, J., and Yaari, V. (2007), "Earnings Management; Emerging Insights in Theory Practice and Research", *Springer Series in Accounting Scholarship*.
- Roychowdury, S. (2006), "Earnings Management through Real Activities Manipulation", *SSRN Working Paper Series*.
- Roychowdury, S., and Watts, R. (2006), "Asymmetric Timelines of Earnings, Market To Book and Conservatism in Financial Reporting", *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 44, 2-31.
- Ryan, S and Zarowin, P. (2003), "Why Has the Contemporaneous Linear Returns-Earnings Relation Declined"? *Accounting Review*.
- Salamon, G., and Kopel. (1991), "Accounting Method Related Misspecification in Cross Sectional Capital Research Design", *Working Paper Indiana University*.
- Salamon, G and Smith, E, D. (1979), "Control and Managerial Misrepresentation of Firm's Performance", *The Bell Journal of Economics* 320-328.
- Saleh, M. N. and Ahmed, K. (2005), "Earnings Management of Distressed Firms during Debt Renegotiation", *Accounting and Business Research* 35, 69-86.
- Sampers, P. (2008), "The Introduction of International Financial Reporting Standards in the European Union: An Interim Report"; *Seminar Paper Presented on the Acceptance of Position of Professor of Financial Accounting, Maastricht University Netherlands*.
- Sanchez-Ballesta, J., and Garcia-Meca, E. (2007), "Ownership Structure, Discretionary Accruals and the Informativeness of Earnings", *Journal Compilation, Blackwell Publishing Ltd* 15 (4).
- Sarkar, J., and Sarkar, S. (1999), "Large Shareholder Activism in Corporate Governance in Developing Countries: Evidence from India", *Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research Discussion Paper* 153.
- Sarkar, J., Sarkar, S., and Sen, K. (2008), "Board of Directors and Opportunistic Earnings Management; Evidence from India", *SSRN Working Paper*.
- Shaw, K. (2003), "Corporate Disclosure Quality, Earnings Smoothing and Earnings Timeliness", *Journal of Business Research* 56, 1043-1050.

- Shen, C., and Chih, H. (2007), "Earnings Management and Corporate Governance in Asia's Emerging Markets", *Journal Compilation, Black Publishing Ltd* 15(5).
- Schwager, S. J., and Margolin, B. H. (1982), "Detection of Multivariate Outliers", *The Annals of Statistics* 10, 943-954.
- Scott, W.R. (1997), "Financial Accounting Theory", *Prentice Hall, Scarborough*.
- Shipper, K. (1989), "Commentary on Earnings Management", *Accounting Horizons* 91-102.
- Shivakumar, L. (2000), "Do Firms Mislead Investors by Overstating Earnings before Seasoned Equity Offerings"? *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 29 (3), 339-371.
- Shleifer, A., and Vishny, R. (1986), "Large Shareholders and Corporate Control", *Journal of Political Economy* 94, 461-488.
- Shleifer, A., and Vishny, R. (1997), "A Survey of Corporate Governance", *Journal of Finance* 52, 737-783.
- Short, H., and Keasey, K. (1997), "Institutional Shareholders and Corporate Governance, In Keasey, K., and M. Wright (Eds.)", *Corporate Governance: Responsibilities, Risks and Remuneration, John Wiley and Sons*
- Shubita, F.M., and Shubita, F., M. (2009), "Discretionary Accruals, Market Capitalisation and Risk", *Middle Eastern Finance And Economics* 5.
- Shubita, F.M., and Shubita, F., M. (2010), "The Incremental Information Content of Earnings Management". *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics* 48.
- Singer, Z. (2007), "Discretionary Financial Reporting: Items Manipulated By IPO Firms and Investors' Increased Awareness", *SSRN Working Paper*.
- Sivaramakrishnan, K., and Yu, S.C. (2008), "On the Association between Corporate Governance and Earnings Management Quality", *SSRN Working Paper*.
- Skinner, D.J., and Sloan, R.G. (2002), "Earnings Surprises, Growth Expectations, and Stock Returns or Don't Let an Earnings Torpedo Sink Your Portfolio", *Review of Accounting Studies* 7, 289-312.
- Sloan, R.G. (1996), "Do Stock Prices Fully Reflect Information in Accruals and Cash Flows about Future Earnings"? *The Accounting Review* 71 (3), 289-315.
- Sloan, R.G. (2001), "Financial Accounting and Corporate Governance: A Discussion". *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 32, 335-347.
- Soderstrom, N.S., and Sun, K.J. (2007), "IFRS Adoption and Accounting Quality: A Review", *European Accounting Review* 16 (4), 675-702.
- Stenka, R. and Ormrod, P. (2007), "The Impact of IFRS Adoption in the UK – Issues in Group Accounting", *SSRN Working Paper*.
- Stenka, R., Ormrod, P., and Chan, A. (2008), "Accounting For Business Combinations. The Consequences of IFRS Adoption for UK Listed Companies", *SSRN Working Paper*.
- Stiglitz, J. (1985), "Credit Markets and the Control of Capital", *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 17, 131-144.
- Stigler, G.J and Friedman, C. (1983), "The Literature of Economics: The Case of Berle and Means", *Journal of Law and Economics* 36, 237-268.
- Subramanyam, K. (1996), "The Pricing of Discretionary Accruals", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 249-281.
- Subramanyam, K., and Wild, J. (1996). "Going Concern Status, Earnings Persistence and the Informativeness of Earnings", *Contemporary Accounting Research* 13, (1), 251-274.
- Sweeney, A.P. (1994), "Debt Covenant Violations and Managers Accounting Responses", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 281-308.
- Tang, Q., Chen, H., Jiang, Y., and Lin, Z. (2009), "International Accounting Standards and Accounting Quality: Evidence from the European Union", *SSRN Working Paper*.
- Tang, Q., Chen, H., and Lin, Z. (2008), "Financial Reporting Quality and Investor Protection: A Global Investigation", *SSRN Working Paper*.
- Teoh, S.H., Welch, I., and Wong, T.J. (1998a), "Earnings Management and the Long Run Market Performance of Initial Public Offerings", *Journal of Finance* 53, 1935-1974.
- Teoh, S.H., Welch, I., and Wong, T.J. (1998b), "Earnings Management and the Underperformance of Seasoned Equity Offerings", *Journal of Financial Economics* 50, 63-99.
- Teoh, S., and Wong, T. (1993), "Perceived Auditor Quality and the Earnings Response Coefficient", *The Accounting Review* 68, 346-367.
- Uzun, H., Szcwcyk, S. H. and Varma, R. (2004), "Board Composition and Corporate", *Fraud Financial Analysts Journal* 60 (3) 33-43.
- Vafeas, N. (2000), "Board Structure and the Informativeness of Earnings", *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* 19, 139-160.
- Vafeas, N., and Theodorou, E. (1998), "The Relationship between Board Structure and Firm Performance In The



- UK”, *British Accounting Review* 30, 383-407.
- Van Tendeloo, B., and Vanstraelen, A. (2005), “Earnings Management under German GAAP versus IFRS”, *European Accounting Review* 14 (1), 101-126.
- Velury, U., and Jenkins. (2006), “Institutional Ownership and the Quality of Earnings”, *Journal of Business Research* 59, 1043-1051.
- Verrecchia, R.E. (2001), “Essays on Disclosure”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 32, 97-180.
- Vincent, L. (1999), “The Information Content of Funds from Operations (FFO) For Real Estate Investment Trusts (Reits)”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 26, 69-104.
- Wainer, H. (1976), “Robust Statistics: A Survey and Some Prescriptions”, *Journal of Educational Statistics* 1, (4), 285-312.
- Wang, W. (2005), “Founding Family Ownership and Earnings Quality”, *Journal of Accounting Research* 44, (3).
- Wang, Z., Swift, K., and Lobo, G. (1994), “Earnings Management and the Informativeness of Accrual Adjustments”, *SSRN Working Paper*.
- Warfield, T., Wild, J., and Wild. (1995), “Managerial Ownership, Accounting Choices and the Informativeness of Earnings”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 20, 61-91.
- Watts, R. (1973), “The Information Content of Dividends”, *Journal of Business* 46, 191-211.
- Watts, R. (1992), “Accounting Choice Theory and Market Based Research in Accounting”, *British Accounting Review* 53, 273-305.
- Watts, R. (2003), “Conservatism in Accounting. Explanations and Implications”, *Accounting Horizons* 17, 207-221.
- Watts, R., (2003), “Conservatism in Accounting. Evidence and Research Opportunities”, *Accounting Horizons* 17, 287-301.
- Watts, R., and Zimmerman, J. (1986), “Positive Accounting Theory”, *Englewood Cliffs, Prentice Hall Inc.*
- Weetman, P., Jones, E.A.S., Adams, C. and Gray, S.J. (1998), “Profit Measurement and UK Accounting Standards: A Case of Increasing Disharmony In Relation To US GAAP and IAS”, *Accounting and Business Research* 283,189-208.
- Weisbach, M. (1988), “Outside Directors and the CEO Turnover”, *Journal of Financial Economics* 20,461-492.
- Whelan, C. (2008), “The Value Relevance of Corporate Governance: Australian Evidence”, *Corporate Ownership and Control* 6.
- Whelan, C., and Mcnamara, R. (2004), “The Impact of Earnings Management on the Value Relevance of Financial Statement Information”, *SSRN Working Paper*.
- Wild, J. (1994), “Managerial Accountability to Shareholders: Audit Committees and the Explanatory Power of Earnings Returns”, *British Accounting Review* 26, 353-374.
- Wild,J.(1996), “The Audit Committee and Earnings Quality”, *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance* 11, 11-31.
- Williamson, O.E (1985), “The Economic Institutions of Capitalism: Firms, Markets, Relational Contracting”, *New York: Free Press*.
- Xie, H. (2001), “The Mispricing of Abnormal Accruals”, *The Accounting Review* 76 (3).
- Xie, B., Davidson, W., and Dadalt, P. (2003), “Earnings Management and Corporate Governance. The Roles of The Board And The Audit Committee”, *Journal of Corporate Finance* 9 (3), 295-317.
- Xu, J., and Lu, C. (2008), “Accounting Conservatism. A Study of Market Level and Firm Level Explanatory Factors”, *China Journal of Accounting Research* 1(1).
- Yeo, H.H.H., Tan, P.M.S., Ho, K.W., and Chen, S.S. (2002), “Corporate Ownership Structure and Informativeness of Earnings”, *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting* 29, 1023-1046.
- Yermack, D. (1996), “Higher Market Valuation of Companies with a Small Board of Directors”, *Journal of Financial Economics* 40, (2), 185-211.
- Young, M. (2000), “Accounting Irregularities and Financial Fraud: Corporate Governance Guide”, *Harcourt, Inc.*
- Yu, F. (2006), “Corporate Governance and Earnings Management”, *Working Paper*.
- Zayene, R and Jilani, F. (2010), “The Impact of Investment Opportunities on the Value Relevance of Short-Term and Long-Term Discretionary Accruals”, *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics* 59.
- Zhao, R., and Millet-Reyes, B. (2007), “Ownership Structure and Accounting Information Content: Evidence from France”, *Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting*, 18 (3).
- Zhao, Y., and Chen, H. (2008), “Staggered Boards and Earnings Management”, *Accounting Review* 83 (5), 1347-1381.
- Zhao, Y., and Chen, H. (2008), “The Influence of Takeover Protection on Earnings Management”, *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting* 3&4 (35), 347-375.
- Zhen Qi, C., Subramanyam K.R., and Zhang, J. (2010), “Accounting Quality, Bond Liquidity and the Cost of Debt”, *Working Paper*.

- 
- Zimmerman, D. W. (1994), “A Note on the Influence of Outliers on Parametric and Non Parametric Tests”, *Journal of General Psychology* 121(4), 391-401.
- Zingales, L. (1994), “The Value of the Voting Right. A Study of the Milan Stock Exchange Experience”, *Review of Financial Studies* 7, 125–148.
- Zingales, L. (1998), “Corporate Governance. The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics and Law”, *University of Chicago Booth Business School*, National Bureau of Economic Research; Centre for Economic Policy Research.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage:

<http://www.iiste.org>

## CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

**Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <http://www.iiste.org/journals/> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

## MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <http://www.iiste.org/book/>

Academic conference: <http://www.iiste.org/conference/upcoming-conferences-call-for-paper/>

## IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

