

Real Stock Returns and Inflation in Pakistan

Roohi Ahmed* Dr. Khalid Mustafa

Department of Economics, University of Karachi, Pakistan

* E-mail of the corresponding author: roohiazeem@yahoo.com

Abstract

This study examines the relationship between inflation rate and real stock return on the basis of monthly and annual data from 1972 to 2002. The Full Information Maximum Likelihood (FIML) is used to estimate the causal relationship between inflation rate and real stock returns. The empirical results indicate that when the real output growth rate is controlled the negative relationship between real stock return and inflation rate disappear. This result is consistent with Fama (1981) conjecture. However, the relationship between real returns and unexpected growth and unexpected inflation is negative and significant to be important findings.

Keywords: Real Stock Returns, Inflation, Pakistan.

1. Introduction

Inflation is one of the influential macroeconomic variables, which has negative impact on economic activity. It is calculated on the basis of price indices. These price indices are GDP deflator, consumer price index (CPI), and producer price index. The CPI is used to measure inflation in Pakistan. Inflation decreases the value of money, which ultimately effect investment. People purchase more durable goods, bonds, silver, gold, foreign currency and shares, which hedges against the inflation.

The stock price depends on available economic and non-economic information. The economic information show up a change in interest rate, consumer price index, inflation rate, and price of oil. Non-economic information is related to the political disputes, emergency in the country, and other circumstances. Market participants use both types of information in their decision-making. The market price works as a barometer to a consumer in deciding about the present versus future consumption. Stock prices are determined by interaction of supply and demand in a market economy. In Pakistan the share price is based on KSE-100 index. This index measures the temperature of stock market (i.e. heating and cooling) and its trends can be measured by indices of market prices. The movements of major indices effects portfolio decisions. KSE index began with a 50-share index. As market developed and turned into an emergent, a market representative index was needed. On November 1, 1991, in order to meet with growing trend & to give a more reflective index, the Karachi stock exchange revised the list of 50 companies to 100. This new index called "KSE-100", with the base point of 1000. The KSE 100-index is a capital weighted index representing about 83% of market capitalization of exchange market. The composition of this index is revised periodically to reflect the changes that occur continuously as a result of enlisting of new companies and subsequent change in weights of listed companies. The stock returns are calculated by taking the difference of two-log successive KSE-100 price index and the real stock return is measured by taking difference between the stock returns and inflation.

2. Review of literature

Several studies provide a negative relationship between real stock returns and inflation for US and European stock markets like Linter (1975), Fama (1981, 1982), Fama and Schwert (1977), Geske and Roll (1983), and Caporale and

A slightly different version of this article was presented by Roohi Ahmed at the Eighth International Business Research Conference 27-28 March 2008, Crown Plaza Hotel Dubai, United Arab Emirates, organized by World Business Institute. We are thankful to the anonymous referees for their helpful comments; however we are responsible for the views expressed in this article and all remaining errors.

Jung (1997) for US financial market and Wahlroos and Berglund (1986) and Aspren (1989) provide for European markets. Chatrath, A. et.al (1997) and Hu and Willett (2000) provide evidence for Indian financial market. Chatrath, A. et.al (1997) found negative relationship between inflation and real activity and positive relationship between real activity and stock returns in case of India. The reason of this relationship is subject to the controversy.

First causal interpretation is the variability hypothesis in which the relationship tests take place between inflation and stock prices Malkiel (1979) and Hendershott (1981). In this hypothesis a rise in inflation generates a level of uncertainty, which lowers the stock returns. The increased in uncertainty causes to decrease the economic activity in future, which lowers the expected output in future which finally reduces the current stock returns (Friedman 1977). However, an empirical finding by Buono (1989) negates the variability of hypothesis regarding the negative relationship between stock returns and inflations. Hu and Willett (2000) examined the variability of hypothesis for Indian stock market and found strong relation evidence that higher inflation is more volatile and speed up inflation. Moreover, they argued that previous studies could not be supportive enough to this hypothesis due to serious methodological shortcomings problems with the previous tests of the variability of hypothesis.

Second causal interpretation is the proxy hypothesis, which is centrally focused by Fama (1981). According to Fama (1981) the relationship between returns and inflation is not true relation; it is only the proxy relationship between stock return and growth rate of real GNP with the inverse relationship between stock returns and inflation. It implies that high rate of inflation may decrease the demand for money that decreases growth in real activity. On the other side the increase in rate of inflation decrease the future expected profit, which ultimately impacts the decrease in stock prices. This argument supports the Fisher (1930) hypothesis, which states that real returns are determined by real factors. Fama (1981) suggested that if the effect of real output growth is controlled the negative relation will disappear. Various studies have examined this proxy hypothesis.² Coate and Vanderhoff (1986) investigated the empirical evidence in support of Fama's views. They found that anticipated and unanticipated inflation were uncorrelated to actual and surprise output growths. Some studies do not support to Fama (1981) hypothesis e.g. Caporale and Jung (1997). Caporale and Jung (1997) provided same evidence against Fama's conjecture. They found that negative relationship exists between stock returns and inflation even controlling the output shock. Feldstein (1980) examined another aspect of negative relation between inflation and stock returns. He considered the tax and depreciation charges in his model. Tax and depreciation charges increase the total cost after tax profit, which decrease the stock returns.

To the best of our knowledge, no study has been available regarding the relationship between inflation and real stock return with reference to Pakistan so far. Hence this paper investigates the relationship between real return and inflation with reference to Karachi stock market during 1972 to 2002. The study provides the primary investigation regarding to hypothesis, which apply in Pakistan stock market. Regression of stock returns on the inflation variables are estimated after removing in the relationship between real activity and inflation. The results provide support for Fama hypothesis.

The rest of the paper is organized such that second section describes the econometric methodology and related issues followed by data details in section three. The empirical findings and interpretation are presented in section four. Section five provides the concluding remarks.

3. Econometric Methodology

This study based on the combination of efficient market hypothesis and rational expectation theory. The efficient market hypothesis suggests that stock markets are "informationally efficient." That is, any new information relevant to the market is spontaneously reflected in the stock prices. A consequence of this hypothesis is that past prices cannot have any predictive power for future prices once the current prices have been used as an explanatory variable.

² Nelson (1976), Linter (1975), Fama (1981, 1982), Fama and Schwert (1977), Geske and Roll (1983), Caporale and Jung (1997), Wahlroos and Berglund (1986) Aspren (1989) Chatrath and Ramchander (1997) and Hu and Willett (2000).

In other words the change in future prices depends only on arrival of new information that was unpredictable today hence it is based on surprise information. Another consequence of this hypothesis is that arbitrage opportunities are wiped out instantaneously. The rational expectation hypothesis postulates that an unbiased expectation of a variable is formed on the basis of all available information. It implies that both efficient market and rational expectation theory, only surprise information could cause change in stock prices.

This study tests the proxy hypothesis between stock return and growth rate of real GNP with the inverse relationship between real stock returns and inflation. It implies that high rate of inflation may decrease the demand for money that brings down the level of growth in real activity. This hypothesis tests for a significant relationship between inflation and stock prices by estimating of the following system of equations.

$$\begin{aligned} GNP_t &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 GNP_{t-1} + \alpha_3 GNP_{t-2} + \varepsilon_{1t} & (1) \\ INF_t &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 INF_{t-1} + \beta_3 INF_{t-2} + \beta_4 INF_{t-3} + \varepsilon_{2t} & (2) \\ SP_t &= \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 GNP_t + \gamma_3 INF_t + \gamma_4 \varepsilon_{1t} + \gamma_5 \varepsilon_{2t} + \zeta_1 & (3) \end{aligned}$$

Where GNP_t is growth rate of real GNP, INF_t is the growth rate of consumer price index and SP_t is growth rate of real stock exchange price index, and ε_{1t} , ε_{2t} , and ζ_{3t} are disturbance terms. The OLS method has not been used here because the regressions in which generated regressors are used give inconsistent covariance matrix estimators. Full Information Maximum Likelihood (FIML) used to estimate the above equations³. Full Information Maximum Likelihood (FIML) estimates the likelihood function under the assumption that the contemporaneous errors have a joint normal distribution. Provided that the likelihood function is correctly specified, FIML is fully efficient.

4. Data

The data used in this study is monthly and yearly covering the period from 1972 to 2002. The annual data for inflation, stock prices index, and Gross National Product (GNP) are taken from various issues of International Financial Statistics (IFS). The index is based on 1995-96 prices. The monthly data for inflation, stock prices index, and GNP are taken from various issues of Pakistan Economic Survey and Annual Report of State Bank of Pakistan. Because of non-availability of monthly data on GNP, industrial production indices are used as a proxy for GNP⁴. The return is calculated by the difference of two successive log prices. The real return is calculated by the subtraction of inflation from stock returns.

5. Estimation and interpretation of Results

Table 1 and 4 show the relationship between real growth rate and its two years lag periods of monthly and annually data respectively. It indicates that one-year lag period is positive and significant and two years lag period is negative and insignificant on real growth in monthly data. However, the relationship between real growth rate and its two years lag periods of annually data is positive and insignificant. It indicates that the effect of information is present in monthly data especially in the lag period of first month. Whereas annually data does not provide any evidence about information because information is absorbed in yearly data. By these relations the unexpected growth rate is calculated which these relations later use in determination of real stock returns.

Table 1 Real growth rate regression (Monthly data) 1972-2002

Variable	Coefficients	Standard Error	t-Statistics	p-values
Constant	0.009	0.012	0.70	0.480
GNP(-1)	0.251	0.095	2.62	0.010
GNP(-2)	-0.046	0.132	-0.35	0.720

The dependent variable is the growth in the real output. The regressions were estimated using full information maximum likelihood. The value of $R^2 = 0.059$.

³ Pagan (1984)

⁴ (Baum, Calagyan and Ozkan 2002)

Table 2 Inflation rate regression (Monthly data) 1972-2002

Variable	Coefficients	Standard Error	t-Statistics	p-values
Constant	0.005	0.002	2.75	0.006
Inflation(-1)	0.099	0.154	0.64	0.523
Inflation(-2)	0.088	0.136	0.64	0.519
Inflation(-3)	0.128	0.088	1.95	0.098

The dependent variable is the rate of inflation. The regressions were estimated using full information maximum likelihood. The value of $R^2 = 0.105$

Table 2 exhibits the relationship between inflation and its three years lags period on the basis of monthly data. The result shows the positive and insignificant impact of lags period on inflation. Table 5 indicates the relationship between inflation and its three years lags period on the basis of annually data. The result shows the positive and significant impact of lags period on inflation. It observes that inflation trend has systematic pattern in Pakistan's economy which is because of two observe phenomena, an anticipated increase in price of oil and switchover from fixed exchange rate to flexible exchange rate. Due to these factors, the domestic currency goes down in value and contribute to increase the price of intermediate commodities (Pakistan import intermediate commodity), which speed up the inflation.

Table 3 Real stock return regression (Monthly data) 1972-2002

Variable	Coefficients	Standard Error	t-Statistics	p-values
Constant	0.008	0.001	0.09	0.920
GNP	0.122	0.086	1.40	0.161
E_1	-0.143	0.051	-2.80	0.006
Inflation	-1.195	0.912	-1.31	0.192
E_2	-1.288	0.812	-1.98	0.106

The dependent variable is the growth in the real Karachi stock price index. rate of inflation. The regressions were estimated using full information maximum likelihood. The value of $R^2 = 0.123$

Table 4 Real growth rate regression (Annual data) 1972-2002

Variable	Coefficients	Standard Error	t-Statistics	p-values
Constant	0.043	0.015	2.870	0.007
GNP(-1)	0.162	0.168	0.970	0.340
GNP(-2)	0.109	0.230	1.980	0.080

The dependent variable is the growth in the real output. The regressions were estimated using full information maximum likelihood. The value of $R^2 = 0.053$.

The negative relationship between real returns and unexpected components of inflation is more clearly explained in terms of relationship between real returns and inflationary trend in both monthly data and annual data. Table 3 and 6 indicate the same i.e. that unexpected output growth has negative and significant effects on real stock prices at one percent in monthly as well as annually basis. Actual output growth is also positive and significant in annually data and insignificant in monthly data. It indicates that the role of information, which has significant role in monthly data, has zero effect. However, anticipated inflation has positive and insignificant impact on stock prices. An unanticipated inflation has negative significant impact on stock prices at ten percent in both data. One interesting result found that after controlling for the effects of output growth, the inverse relationship between inflation and real stock prices disappear at basis which is consistent with efficient market theory and Fama's (1981) conjecture. However, in the monthly data the negative relationship is found between inflation and real stock prices after controlling for the effects of output growth which is consistent to Caporale and Jung (1997) findings and against Fama's (1981) conjecture. The negative associations between real stock returns and the unexpected components of inflation are found to persist, despite a two-step estimation that controls for the inflation and real activity relationship.

Table 5 Inflation rate regression (Annual data) 1972-2002

Variable	Coefficients	Standard Error	t-Statistics	p-values
Constant	0.036	0.017	2.18	0.039
Inflation(-1)	0.872	0.104	8.36	0.000
Inflation(-2)	-0.315	0.132	-2.37	0.026
Inflation(-3)	-0.001	0.120	-0.002	0.948

The dependent variable is the rate of inflation. The regressions were estimated using full information maximum likelihood. The value of $R^2 = 0.64$

Table 6 Real stock return regression (Annual data) 1972-2002

Variable	Coefficients	Standard Error	t-Statistics	p-values
Constant	-0.800	0.396	-2.01	0.054
GNP	12.320	5.216	2.36	0.026
E_1	-14.179	5.106	-2.77	0.011
Inflation	1.178	1.608	0.73	0.471
E_2	-1.580	0.873	-1.81	0.082

The dependent variable is the growth in the real Karachi stock price index. rate of inflation. The regressions were estimated using full information maximum likelihood. The value of $R^2 = 0.41$

6. Conclusion

This study examines the relationship between inflation rate and real stock returns using the monthly and yearly data for Pakistan. It is found that when the real output growth rate is controlled the negative relationship between real returns & inflation disappear. This result is consistent with Fama's (1981) proxy hypothesis. However, the relationship between real returns and unexpected growth and unexpected inflation are negative and significant to be important findings.

References

- Asprem, M. (1989), "Stock Prices Asset Portfolios and Macroeconomic Variables in Ten European Countries", Journal of Banking and Finance 13, 589-612.
- Bodie, Z. (1976), "Common Stocks as a Hedge against Inflation," Journal of Finance, 31, 259-270.
- Buono, M. (1989), "The Relationship between the Variability of Inflation and Stock Returns: An Empirical Investigation", The Journal of Financial Research, 12, 329-339.
- Caporale, T. and Chulho, J. (1997), "Inflation and Real Stock Prices", Applied Financial Economics, 7, 265-266
- Chatrath, A., Sanjay, R. and Frank, S. (1997), "Stock Prices, Inflation and Output: Evidence from India", Applied Financial Economics, 7, 439-445.
- Coat, D. and Vanderhoff, J. (1986), "Stock Returns, Inflation, and Real Output", Economic Inquiry, 24, 555-560.
- Fama, E. F. (1981), "Stock Returns, real Activity, Inflation, and Money", American Economic Review, 71, 545-564.
- Fama, E. F. (1982), "Inflation, Output, and Money", Journal of Business, 55, 201-231.
- Fama, E. F. and Schwert, G. W. (1977), "Asset Returns and Inflation", Journal of Financial Economics, 5, 115-146.
- Feldstein, M. (1980), "Inflation and the Stock Market", American Economic Review, 70, 839-847.

- Fisher, I. (1930), "The Theory of Interest", [Macmillan, New York]
- Friedman, M. (1977), "Inflation and Unemployment", Journal of Political Economy, 85, 451-472
- Geske, R. and Roll, R. (1983), "The Fiscal and Monetary Linkage Between Stock Returns and Inflation", Journal of Finance, 38, 1-33.
- Hendershott, P. (1981), "Decline Aggregate Share Values: Taxation, Valuation Errors, Risk and Profitability", American Economic Review, 71, 909-922.
- Hu, X. and Thomas D. W. (2000), "The Variability of Inflation and Real Stock Returns", Applied Financial Economics, 10, 655-665
- Linter, J. (1975), "Inflation and Security Returns", The Journal of Finance, 30: 2, 259-280.
- Malkiel, B. (1979), "The Capital Formation Problem in the United States", Journal of Finance, 34, 291-306.
- Nelson, C. R. (1976, "Inflation and Rates of Return on Common Stocks", General of Finance, 31, 471-83.
- Pagan, A. (1984), "Econometric Issues in the Analysis of Regressions with Generated Regressors", International Economic Review, 25, 901-22.
- Wahlroos, B. and Berglund, T. (1986), "Stock Returns, Inflationary Expectations and Real Activity", Journal of Banking and Finance.10, 377-389.