

The Effect of CAR, NPL, and LDR on ROA of SOE Banks in Indonesia

(Case Study at a State-Owned Bank Listed on the IDX)

Heri Sasono Mawarto
Lecturer at STIE Dharma Bumiputera

Abstract

Bank health is an important thing in the banking world, because an unhealthy bank will be a threatmentr for the bank's internal element that affected the customers. This research is to find out and analyze the effect of CAR, NPL and LDR partially or simultaneously on the ROA of BUMN banks, for examples, Bank Mandiri, Bank Negara Indonesia (BNI 46), Bank Rakyat Indonesia (BRI) and Bank Tabungan Negara (BTN) since 2009-2017.

Data collection techniques was by accessing www.idx.com. This type of research was quantitative research. The analytical tool used in this study was multiple linear regression analysis, using E-Views software. Chow Test and Hausman Test was used to choose the best multiple linear regression analysis between Common Effect, Fixed Effect, and Random Effect. The partial test results show that the NPL variable has a significant effect on ROA of state-owned banks in Indonesia. Simultaneous test results show that CAR, NPL and LDR significantly affected ROA

Keywords: bank health, IDX

DOI: 10.7176/RJFA/11-10-14

Publication date: May 31st 2020

A. Introduction

The banking industry is an important sector in national development that functions as a financial intermediary between parties who have excess funds (surplus units) and those who need funds (deficit units). This bank institution is called a trust institution which means that the party with excess funds entrusts fully to the bank to manage and distribute funds to those who lack funds. This form of trust is reflected with no interference of fund management that have been placed.

The failed bank will have a large impact and will affect customers and other financial institutions. The failures or bad loans require financial ratio analysis, so that the risk of bank failure can be detected.

The level of bank soundness can be measured by calculating the value of the RGEC component which includes Risk Profile, Good Corporate Governance, Earnings, and Capital. In this case, the Risk Profile aspect is assessed by Non-Performing Loans (NPL) and Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR), Earning aspect includes the Return On Assets (ROA), while the Capital aspect includes Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR). These aspects are then assessed using financial ratios, so as to assess the financial condition of banking companies in the future.

Based on the above background, the researcher is interested in raising the theme "Analysis of the effect of office CAR, NPL and LDR on ROA in Conventional Banks in Indonesia (Case Study on BUMN Banks Listed on the IDX)".

B. Theoretical Framework

Banking

In the Indonesian Banking Booklet for 2017, banking is related to bank, for example; institutions, business activities, ways and processes in carrying out their business activities.

Financial Report

Financial report is information that describes the financial condition of a company, and further the information can be used as a picture of the company's financial performance.

Bank Health

The procedure in evaluating the healthy bank used the RGEC (Risk Profile, Good Corporate Governance, Earning and Capital) methods. The full guidelines were regulated in Bank Indonesia Circular Letter (SE) No. 13/14 / DPNP dated 25 October 2011 concerning Commercial Bank Health Assessment.

a. Risk Profile

The risk assessment is divided into 8 parts, namely Credit Risk, Market Risk, Liquidity Risk, Operational Risk, Legal Risk, Strategic Risk, Compliance Risk, and Reputation Risk.

b. Good Corporate Governance

The Bank takes into account the impact of the company's GCG on the bank's GCG performance by considering the significant and materiality of the subsidiary companies and / or the significance of the GCG weaknesses of the subsidiary companies.

c. Earning

Earning is one of the bank's health assessments in terms of profitability. The characteristic of banks in terms of profitability is the bank's performance in generating profits, the stability of the components that support core earning, and the ability of earnings to improve capital and future profit prospects.

d. Capital

Capital has indicators including bank capital adequacy ratios to anticipate potential losses according to risk profiles, which are accompanied by very strong capital management in accordance with the characteristics, scale of business, and complexity of the bank's business.

Financial Ratios

According to Van Horne, financial ratio is an index that connects two accounting numbers which are obtained by dividing one number by another. Financial ratio is used to evaluate the financial condition and performance of the company. From the results of this financial ratio, the health condition of the company concerned will be seen.

Capital market

According to Fahmi (2015: 48), the capital market is a place where various parties, especially companies sell shares (bonds) and bonds (Bond) that will later be used as additional funds or to strengthen the company's capital.

C. Research methods

Types of research

This study used a quantitative approach. Quantitative approach is a type of research that produces findings that can be reached (obtained) using statistical procedures or other means of quantification (measurement).

Based on the level of explanation of the position of the variable, this research was associative in nature, namely, this study seeks a causal relationship (impact) between the independent variable (X) and the dependent variable (Y).

Operasional Definition

1. CAR (X1) ratio that shows how many the total assets that contains risk by dividing bank capital by risk-weighted assets.
2. NPL (X2) is the ratio of the ratio of total non-performing loans to loans provided by banks.
3. LDR (X3) is the ratio of loans given to funds received by banks (current accounts, savings, deposits).
4. ROA (Y) is a profitability ratio that is used to measure the effectiveness of a company in generating profits by utilizing the total assets it has.

Population, Samples, and Sampling

The population used in this study were banking companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange within the study period (2014 to 2016). The sample in this study was a Bank listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange with a period of 2014-2016 with the sampling technique used that was Purposive Sampling by determining the complete year data criteria.

Data collection technique

Data collection technique in this study used document analysis techniques. Document analysis technique was used to obtain data on bank financial ratio listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the period 2009 - 2017 and data sourced from bank publication reports.

Data analysis technique

a. Classic assumption test

The classic assumption test is carried out to ensure that there are no multicollinearity, autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity in the study, and are normally distributed.

b. Regression Equations using Multiple Linear Regression The analysis technique that used in this research was multiple linear analysis techniques to obtain a comprehensive picture of the relationship between one variable with another variable. The dependent variable used was Return On Assets (ROA) and the independent variables were Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), Non Performing Loan (NPL), and Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR).

$$Y = a + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + e$$

Notes :

Y = Return On Asset

a = A constant

β_1 - β_4 = Regression Coefficient

X1 = Capital Adequacy Ratio

X2 = Net Performing Loan

X3 = Loan to Deposit Ratio

E = Error

c. Regression Coefficient (R)

Effect of CAR, NPL and LDR on ROA

This analysis was used to determine the relationship between two or more independent variables (X1, X2, Xn)

to the dependent variable (Y) simultaneously.

d. Coefficient of Determination (R²)

The purpose of this analysis was to calculate the amount of influence of independent variables on the dependent variable.

e. Simultaneous Test (F Test)

The significance of the regression model was simultaneously tested by looking at the significance value (Sig) where if the sig value is below 0.05 then the independent variable influences the dependent variable. F-statistic test was used to prove that there was an influence between the independent variables on the dependent variable simultaneously.

f. Partial Test (t test)

The significance test of individual parameters (t) was used to show how far the influence of one independent variable individually in explaining the variation of the dependent variable.

g. Best Multiple Linear Correlation Test (Chow Test and Hausman)

In this study also calculated the effective contribution (SE) used to test which independent variables dominantly influence the dependent variable.

The Chow test was to test which common effect with fixed effect was the best. The Hausman test was to test which fixed effect with Random Effect was the best. LM test was to test between the common effect with which random effect was the best.

D. Analysis and Discussion

Analysis of Research Results

Based on the table above we get the multiple linear regression equation as follows :

1. Common Effect

Dependent Variable: ROA
 Method: Panel Least Squares
 Date: 10/16/18 Time: 15:36
 Sample: 2009 2017
 Periods included: 9
 Cross-sections included: 4
 Total panel (balanced) observations: 36

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	7.895577	0.953817	8.277875	0.0000
CAR	0.002109	0.043565	0.048402	0.9617
NPL	-0.808924	0.131871	-6.134196	0.0000
LDR	-0.030302	0.009134	-3.317487	0.0023
R-squared	0.650895	Mean dependent var		3.083333
Adjusted R-squared	0.618167	S.D. dependent var		1.073927
S.E. of regression	0.663608	Akaike info criterion		2.122189
Sum squared resid	14.09203	Schwarz criterion		2.298136
Log likelihood	-34.19941	Hannan-Quinn criter.		2.183600
F-statistic	19.88769	Durbin-Watson stat		0.460704
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

$$Y = 7,895 + 0,002X1 - 0,808X2 - 0,030X3$$

2. Fixed Effect:

Dependent Variable: ROA
 Method: Panel Least Squares
 Date: 10/16/18 Time: 15:37
 Sample: 2009 2017
 Periods included: 9
 Cross-sections included: 4
 Total panel (balanced) observations: 36

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	5.436162	0.913837	5.948723	0.0000
CAR	-0.050257	0.027476	-1.829101	0.0777
NPL	-0.462646	0.095161	-4.861744	0.0000
LDR	-0.002320	0.010585	-0.219213	0.8280

Effects Specification

Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)

R-squared	0.895860	Mean dependent var	3.083333
Adjusted R-squared	0.874314	S.D. dependent var	1.073927
S.E. of regression	0.380731	Akaike info criterion	1.079216
Sum squared resid	4.203717	Schwarz criterion	1.387122
Log likelihood	-12.42589	Hannan-Quinn criter.	1.186684
F-statistic	41.57876	Durbin-Watson stat	1.452192
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

$$Y = 5,436 - 0,050X1 - 0,462X2 - 0,002X3$$

3. Random Effect:

Dependent Variable: ROA
 Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section random effects)
 Date: 10/16/18 Time: 15:41
 Sample: 2009 2017
 Periods included: 9
 Cross-sections included: 4
 Total panel (balanced) observations: 36
 Swamy and Arora estimator of component variances

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	7.895577	0.547231	14.42822	0.0000
CAR	0.002109	0.024995	0.084364	0.9333
NPL	-0.808924	0.075658	-10.69182	0.0000
LDR	-0.030302	0.005240	-5.782336	0.0000

Effects Specification

	S.D.	Rho
Cross-section random	2.54E-06	0.0000
Idiosyncratic random	0.380731	1.0000

Weighted Statistics

R-squared	0.650895	Mean dependent var	3.083333
Adjusted R-squared	0.618167	S.D. dependent var	1.073927
S.E. of regression	0.663608	Sum squared resid	14.09203
F-statistic	19.88769	Durbin-Watson stat	0.460704
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

Unweighted Statistics

R-squared	0.650895	Mean dependent var	3.083333
Sum squared resid	14.09203	Durbin-Watson stat	0.460704

$$Y = 7,895 + 0,002X1 - 0,808X2 - 0,030X3$$

4. Chow Test

Redundant Fixed Effects Tests

Equation: Untitled

Test cross-section fixed effects

Effects Test	Statistic	d.f.	Prob.
Cross-section F	22.738688	(3,29)	0.0000
Cross-section Chi-square	43.547045	3	0.0000

Cross-section fixed effects test equation:

Dependent Variable: ROA

Method: Panel Least Squares

Date: 10/16/18 Time: 15:40

Sample: 2009 2017

Periods included: 9

Cross-sections included: 4

Total panel (balanced) observations: 36

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	7.895577	0.953817	8.277875	0.0000
CAR	0.002109	0.043565	0.048402	0.9617
NPL	-0.808924	0.131871	-6.134196	0.0000
LDR	-0.030302	0.009134	-3.317487	0.0023

R-squared	0.650895	Mean dependent var	3.083333
Adjusted R-squared	0.618167	S.D. dependent var	1.073927
S.E. of regression	0.663608	Akaike info criterion	2.122189
Sum squared resid	14.09203	Schwarz criterion	2.298136
Log likelihood	-34.19941	Hannan-Quinn criter.	2.183600
F-statistic	19.88769	Durbin-Watson stat	0.460704
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

Seeing from the probability value of F and Chi-square with the assumption:

1. If the probability value F and Chi-square $> \alpha = 5\%$, then the panel regression test.
2. Data used the Common effect model.
3. If the probability value of F and Chi-square $< \alpha = 5\%$, then the panel regression test.

Correlated Random Effects - Hausman Test
 Equation: Untitled
 Test cross-section random effects

Test Summary	Chi-Sq. Statistic	Chi-Sq. d.f.	Prob.
Cross-section random	68.216063	3	0.0000

Cross-section random effects test comparisons:

Variable	Fixed	Random	Var(Diff.)	Prob.
CAR	-0.050257	0.002109	0.000130	0.0000
NPL	-0.462646	-0.808924	0.003331	0.0000
LDR	-0.002320	-0.030302	0.000085	0.0023

Cross-section random effects test equation:

Dependent Variable: ROA
 Method: Panel Least Squares
 Date: 10/16/18 Time: 15:41
 Sample: 2009 2017
 Periods included: 9
 Cross-sections included: 4
 Total panel (balanced) observations: 36

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	5.436162	0.913837	5.948723	0.0000
CAR	-0.050257	0.027476	-1.829101	0.0777
NPL	-0.462646	0.095161	-4.861744	0.0000
LDR	-0.002320	0.010585	-0.219213	0.8280

Effects Specification

Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)

R-squared	0.895860	Mean dependent var	3.083333
Adjusted R-squared	0.874314	S.D. dependent var	1.073927
S.E. of regression	0.380731	Akaike info criterion	1.079216
Sum squared resid	4.203717	Schwarz criterion	1.387122
Log likelihood	-12.42589	Hannan-Quinn criter.	1.186684
F-statistic	41.57876	Durbin-Watson stat	1.452192
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

5. Hausman Test

Seeing from the probability value of F and Chi-square with the assumption:

- a. a. If the probability value F and Chi-square $> \alpha = 5\%$, then the panel data regression test uses the Random Effect model.
- b. b. If the probability value of F and Chi-square $< \alpha = 5\%$, then the panel data regression test uses the Fixed Effect model.

6. No LM test

LM test is only used to ensure which model will be used, the basis for this test is if the results of fixed and random tests are inconsistent. In the chow test, the suitable model is the fixed effect model, but when it is tested, the suitable model is the random model. So, to decide which model to use, then do the LM test.

7. Analysis of the Best Results

From the Chow test and Hausman test, the best model is the Fixed Effect model.

Dependent Variable: ROA
 Method: Panel Least Squares
 Date: 10/16/18 Time: 15:43
 Sample: 2009 2017
 Periods included: 9
 Cross-sections included: 4
 Total panel (balanced) observations: 36

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	5.436162	0.913837	5.948723	0.0000
CAR	-0.050257	0.027476	-1.829101	0.0777
NPL	-0.462646	0.095161	-4.861744	0.0000
LDR	-0.002320	0.010585	-0.219213	0.8280

Effects Specification

Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)

R-squared	0.895860	Mean dependent var	3.083333
Adjusted R-squared	0.874314	S.D. dependent var	1.073927
S.E. of regression	0.380731	Akaike info criterion	1.079216
Sum squared resid	4.203717	Schwarz criterion	1.387122
Log likelihood	-12.42589	Hannan-Quinn criter.	1.186684
F-statistic	41.57876	Durbin-Watson stat	1.452192
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

8. F Test

The best model is FE with a regression equation

$$Y = A + b X_1 + c X_2 + d X + e$$

$$Y = 5.436162 - 0.050257 X_1 - 0.462646 X_2 - 0.002320 X_3$$

- a) The constant value can be interpreted that if there is no Capital Adequacy Ratio, Non Performing Loans, Loan to Deposit Ratio then the Return On Asset is 5,436.
- b) $b = 0.050$ indicates that each reduction in Capital Adequacy Ratio by 1% will be followed by an additional Return on Assets of 0.050 with the assumption that other variables are fixed.
- c) $c = 0.462$ indicates that each Non-Performing Loan reduction of 1% will be followed by the addition of 0.462 Return On Assets with the assumption that other variables are fixed.
- d) $d = -0.002$ indicates that any reduction in Loan to Deposit Ratio of 1% will be followed by the addition of Return On Assets of -0.002 with the assumption that other variables are fixed.

9. t Test

Decision criteria of the following hypotheses:

- 1) If the significant level is greater than 5%, it can be concluded that H_0 is accepted, and vice versa.
- 2) If the significant level is less than 5%, it can be concluded that H_0 is rejected, otherwise H_a is accepted.
 - a) There is no significant effect on the independent variable (CAR) toward the dependent variable (ROA).
 - b) There is an effect on the independent variable (NPL) significantly toward the dependent variable (ROA).
 - c) There is no significant effect on the independent variable (LDR) toward the dependent variable (ROA).

10. DISCUSSION

10.1 The Effect of CAR, NPL, And LDR on Roa of Soe Banks in Indonesia

From the results of testing the research variables simultaneously showed a significant relationship between the variables CAR, NPL and LDR on ROA. So that the fourth hypothesis can be stated which states that CAR, NPL and LDR simultaneously have a significant effect on ROA in state-owned banks in Indonesia, accepted.

It can be concluded, if the three variables are in a healthy level, it can be said that bank profits are proxied by ROA, so the child increases. Information regarding the health of the bank is expected to be used by the public or bank investors as an option in making decisions in investing funds or saving in banks.

10.2. Effect of CAR on ROA

From the results of testing the CAR variable produced that the CAR variable shows negative and insignificant results on ROA at state-owned banks in Indonesia. Thus the hypothesis which states that the CAR variable has a significant effect on ROA, is rejected.

From the test results, the regression coefficient which shows positive results means that the increased CAR value will tend to decrease ROA, and vice versa, if there is a decrease in CAR, it will increase ROA. This is due to the high CAR indicating that banks can use their capital well in generating profits.

Banks should manage and utilize their capital to be optimized so that it can generate good profits, but banks must continue to pay attention to the capital requirements held in operational activities in terms of financing to customers or in providing credit so that banks no longer need to reduce capital to pay for risks of losses that arise later.

10.3. Effect of NPL on ROA

From the test results on the NPL, variable produced that the NPL variable showed a negative result, but it was significant to ROA in BUMN banks in Indonesia. Thus, the hypothesis which states that the NPL variable has a significant effect on ROA, is accepted.

The results of the regression coefficient which shows a negative result means that if the value of NPL increases tends to result in a decrease of ROA. The results of this study are in line with the existing theories, which state that NPL have a negative effect on ROA, namely, the higher of NPL value, the profit received by banks will decrease, and vice versa. if NPL decreases, ROA will increase. NPL reflects a credit risk, namely, the smaller the NPL, the smaller the risk assumed by the bank for disbursed loans.

10.4. Effect of LDR on ROA

From the test results on the LDR variable, it is produced that the LDR variable shows negative and insignificant results on ROA of Soe Banks in Indonesia. Thus, the hypothesis which states that the LDR variable has a significant effect on ROA, is rejected.

From the results of the regression coefficient shows a negative result which means that the increased LDR value will tend to reduce ROA, because the higher LDR can be interpreted that banks cannot fulfill their obligations in providing loans. A high LDR, in this case, does not exceed a predetermined limit, it will increase profits derived from interest income.

LDR shows how far the bank's ability to repay withdrawals of funds by depositors by relying on loans provided as a source of liquidity. The additional credit provided by banks will have the potential to provide a higher rate of return on interest. Considering the source of bank revenue comes from the difference between loan interest and deposit interest. However, excessive lending will increase the risk exposure faced by banks. Therefore, banks also need to be selective in lending because besides providing credit in the form of interest income, improper lending can also trigger problem loans.

E. Conclusion and Suggestion

1. Conclusion

- a) CAR variable has no significant effect on ROA of state-owned banks in Indonesia.
- b) The NPL variable has a significant effect on ROA of state-owned banks in Indonesia.
- c) LDR variable has no significant effect on ROA of state-owned banks in Indonesia.
- d) Variable CAR, NPL and LDR jointly (simultaneously) significantly influence ROA of Soe Banks in Indonesia.

2. Suggestion

- a) a) CAR, NPL, and LDR have a significant effect on ROA, the bank should keep the LDR level in accordance with the requirements set by Bank Indonesia, keep the NPL level as low as possible by increasing the total loan by taking into account the customer's qualifications and using optimal capital, so CAR can increase.
- b) b) For further researchers, this study still has many limitations, including many internal factors that are not included as research independent variables and Time Series which are still too short, so it hopes that further research will be able to complete the limitations of this study.

REFERENCES

- Fahmi, Irham. 2012. *Pengantar Pasar Modal*. Bandung: Alfabeta 2014.
- Ghozali, imam. (2005). *Multivariate Lanjutan dengan Program SPSS*. Semarang:Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Ghozali, imam. 2007. *Manajemen Risiko Perbankan Pendekatan Variabel*. Semarang: Penerbit UNDIP.

- Ghozali, Imam. (2011). *Aplikasi analisis Multivariate dengan program SPSS*. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Hadi, Nor. 2013. *Pasar Modal*. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu.
- Hasan, Nurul Ichsan. 2014. *Pengantar Perbankan*. Jakarta: Referensi (Gaung Persada Press Group).
- Harahap, Sofyan Syafri. 2011. *Analisis kritis atas laporan keuangan*. Jakarta : RajaGrafindo Persada.
- Hery . 2016 . *Financial Ratio For Business* . Jakarta : PT Grasindo
- Kasmir. 2007. *Manajemen Perbankan*. Jakarta: PT. RajaGrafindo Persada.
- Kasmir. 2008. *Manajemen Perbankan*, edisi revisi 2008. PT. Raja Grafindo Perkasa. Jakarta.
- Kasmir. 2014. *Analisis Laporan Keuangan*. Jakarta: PT. RajaGrafindo Persada.
- Kasmir. 2010. *Bank dan Lembaga Keuangan Lainnya*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers. eJournal Administrasi Bisnis, Volume 5, Nomor 4, 2017: 1229-1240
- Martalena dan Malinda. 2011. *Pengantar Pasar Modal*. Edisi Pertama. Yogyakarta: Andi.
- Sujarweni, V. Wiratna. 2015. *Metodologi Penelitian Bisnis dan Ekonomi*. Yogyakarta: PT. Pustaka Baru.
- Sugiyono. 2016. *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D*. Bandung. Alfabeta.
- Widarjono, Agus. 2009. *Ekonometrika Pengantar dan Aplikasinya*. Edisi Ketiga. Yogyakarta: Ekonisia.
- Alifah, Yonira Bagiani. 2014. “Pengaruh CAR, NPL, BOPO, NIM DAN LDR Terhadap Profitabilitas (ROA) Pada Perusahaan Perbankan Yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia”. Yogyakarta: Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta.
- Anggrainy Putri Ayuningrum (2011), Analisis Pengaruh CAR, NPL, BOPO, NIM DAN LDR Terhadap ROA (Studi Kasus Pada Bank Umum *Go Public* yang *Listed* di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2005-2009).
- Dasih, Kuntari. 2014. “Pengaruh Rasio Keuangan Terhadap *Return on Asset* Perbankan (Studi Pada Bank Umum Yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2007-2013)”. Yogyakarta: Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta.
- Hardiyanti. (2012). “Pengaruh *CAR, NPL DAN LDR* Terhadap *ROA* Pada Bank BUMN Yang *Go-Public* di Indonesia (Tahun 2006-2010)”. Makassar: Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Hasanuddin.
- Dewi, Kusuma Pramitha, Mulyadi dan Abdurakhman. 2015. “Analisis Pengaruh *CAR, NPL, LDR* dan *NIM* Terhadap Profitabilitas Perbankan (Studi Kasus Pada Bank Umum Yang Terdaftar di BEI Tahun 2008-2012)”. Jakarta : Magister Akuntansi Universitas Pancasila.
- Sari, Dewi Kartika. 2013. “Analisis Pengaruh *Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), Loan to Deposit (LDR)* dan *Net Interest Margin (NIM)* Terhadap *Return On Asset (ROA)* (Studi Kasus Pada Bank Mandiri (Persero) Tbk Periode 2008-2012)”. Surakarta : Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis.
- Merkusiwati, L.A (2007). Evaluasi pengaruh CAMEL terhadap Kinerja Perusahaan. *Buletin Studi Ekonomi*. Vol. 12. No. 1
<http://macroeconomicdashboard.feb.ugm.ac.id/perkembangan-sektor-perbankan-2015ii/> (Di Akses 15 Januari 2017)
- www.idx.co.id. Laporan Keuangan Sub Sektor Bank Tahun 2013-2015 (Di Akses 20 Januari 2017).
- Abidin, Zaenal dan Endri. 2009. Kinerja Efisiensi Teknis Bank Pembangunn Daerah: Pendekatan Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA). *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan* Vol 11 (2)
- Akhtar, M F. Khizer Ali. Shama Sadaqat. 2011. Factors Influencing The Profitability on Conventional Bank of Pakistan. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*. ISSN 1450-2887 Issue 66
- Ail, Naser, Mohammad Ahmadi and Ma’someh Emami. 2013. The Effect of Liquidity Risk on the Performance of Commercial Banks. *International Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences*, 4 (6): 1624-1631.
- Al Haq, M. Taufeni, T dan Desmiyati.2012. Pengaruh *Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR)*, Kualitas Aktiva Produktif, *Non Performing Loan (NPL)* dan *Loan To Deposit Ratio (LDR)* Terhadap Profitabilitas Perusahaan yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2008-2010. *Jurnal Akuntansi*: 1-15
- Bukit, Br. Rina. 2012. Pengaruh Struktur Modal Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Melalui Profitabilitas: Analisis Data Panel Perusahaan Manufaktur Di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *Jurnal Keuangan dan Bisnis* Vol. 4 (3)
- Carvalho, O & Kasman, A. 2005. Cost Efficiency in the Latin American and Caribbean Banking System. *Journal of International Financial Market Institutions and Money* 15.
- Calisir, Fethi, Cigdem Altin Gumussoy, A. Elvan Bayraktaroglu, and Ece Deniz. 2010. Intellectual Capital in the Quoted Turkish ITC Sector, *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, Vol. II(4): 537-553.
- Elviani, Sri. 2010. Pengaruh Risiko Kredit yang Diberikan dan Tingkat Likuiditas Terhadap Profitabilitas Perusahaan Perbankan yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis Islam*: 971-1000.
- Eng, Tan Sau. 2013. Pengaruh NIM, BOPO, BOPO, LDR, NPL & CAR Terhadap ROA Bank Internasional dan Nasional *Go Public* Periode 2007-2011. *Jurnal Dinamika Manajemen* Vol. 1 (3) Juli – September 2013
- Fachrudin, A. K. 2011. Analisis Pengaruh Struktur Modal, Ukuran Perusahaan dan Agency Cost Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan* Vol. 13 (2)
- Haryanto, Sugeng, 2014. Identifikasi Ekspektasi Investor Melalui Kebijakan Struktur Modal, Profitabilitas, Ukuran Perusahaan dan CGPI. *Jurnal Dinamika Manajemen* Vol 5 (2) September.

- Hayat, A. 2008. Analisis Faktor-Faktor yang Berpengaruh terhadap Rentabilitas Perusahaan Perbankan yang Go Public di Pasar Modal Indonesia. *Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan Manajemen dan Akuntansi*, Vol.7 (1) April.
- Hempel, George H and Alan B Coleman, Donald G. Simonson. 1986. *Bank Management Text and Cases*.
- John Wilry and Sons Hutagalung., Djumahir., Ratnawati. 2011. Analisa Rasio Keuangan terhadap Kinerja Bank Umum di Indonesia. *Jurnal Ekonomi & Bisnis*. Volume 11 (1)
- Idroes, Ferry N. 2008. *Manajemen Risiko Perbankan, Pemahaman Pendekatan 3 Pilar Kesepakatan Basel II Terkait Aplikasi Regulasi dan Pelaksanaannya di Indonesia*. Jakarta: PT. Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Jensen, Michael. 1986. Agency Cost of Free Cash Flow, Corporate Finance and Take Over. *American Economic Review*. 76. 323-39
- Joseph, Mabvure Tendai, Gwangwava Edson, Faitira Manuere, Mutibvu Clifford, and Kamoyo Michael. 2012. Non Performing loans in Commercial Banks: A case of CBZ Bank Limited In Zimbabwe. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 4(7), pp: 467-489.
- Kasmir. 2012. *Bank dan Lembaga Keuangan Lainnya*. PT Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Kolapo, T Funso, R. Kolade Ayeni, M. Ojo Oke. 2012. Credit Risk and Commercial Bank Performance in Nigeria: A Panel Model approach. *Australian Journal of Business and Management Research*. Vol 2 (2)
- Lin, Kun Lin. 2006. Study on Related Party Transaction With Mainland China in Taiwan Enterprises, *Dissertation*, Departemen Managemen University Guo Cheng Gong China.
- Masyhud Ali, 2006, *Manajemen Risiko Strategi Perbankan dan Dunia Usaha Menghadapi Tantangan Globalisasi Bisnis*. PT. RajaGrafindo Persada
- Mawardi, W. 2005. Analisis Faktor-Faktor yang Memengaruhi Kinerja Keuangan Bank Umum di Indonesia. *Jurnal Bisnis Strategi*, 14(1): 83-94.
- Merkusiwati, Ni Ketut Lely Aryani. 2007. Evaluasi Pengaruh Camel Terhadap Kinerja Perusahaan. *Buletin Studi Ekonomi*. Vol. 12 (1)
- Natalina, Ary.Pратиwi, Widi. Nariya, Andi. 2012. Analisis Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) dan Aktiva Tetap Terhadap Modal (ATM) Terhadap Profitabilitas Pada PT. Bank Permata, Tbk. *UG Jurnal Vol 6 (12)*
- Nawaz, M. et al. 2012. Credit Risk and The Performance of Nigerian Banks. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business Vol. 4 (7)*
- Oorschot, Van L. 2009. *Risk Reporting: An analysis of German Banking Industry*. <http://oathesis.eur.nl>. 24 Oktober 2010
- Puspitasari, Nita. 2009. Evaluasi Kinerja Keuangan Bank dalam Kerangka Arsitektur Perbankan Indonesia Periode 2004-2008: Perbandingan CAR, NPL, LDR, EATAR, BOPO, dan, ROA. *Jurnal Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Gunadharma*.
- Rahim, Rida dan Yum, Irpa. 2008. Analisa Efisiensi Operasional Terhadap Profitabilitas pada bank Umum Syariah dan Unit Syariah (Studi Kasus BSM dan BNI Syariah). *Jurnal Bisnis & Manajemen Vol. 4 (3)*
- Siringoringo, Renniwaty. 2012. Karakteristik dan Fungsi Intermediasi Perbankan Di Indonesia. *Buletin Ekonomi Moneter dan Perbankan*, Juli 2012
- Subandi dan Ghozali, Imam. 2013. Determinan Efisiensi dan Dampaknya Terhadap Kinerja Profitabilitas Industri Perbankan Di Indonesia. *Jurnal Keuangan dan Perbankan Vol. 17 (1) Januari*.
- Sudiyatno, Bambang dan Suroso, Jati. 2010. Analisis Pengaruh Dana Pihak Ketiga, BOPO, CAR dan LDR Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Pada Sektor Perbankan Yang Go Public Di Bursa Efek Indonesia (BEI) Periode 2005-2008. *Dinamika Keuangan dan Perbankan*, Vol. 2 (2)
- Sudiyatno, B dan Fatmawati, A. 2013. Pengaruh Risiko Kredit dan Efisiensi Operasional Terhadap Kinerja Bank (Studi Empirik Pada Bank yang Terdaftar di BEI). *Jurnal Organisasi dan Manajemen*, Volume 9 (1).
- Sujoko dan Ugi. Soebiantoro. 2007. Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan Saham, Leverage Faktor Intern dan Faktor Ekstern terhadap Nilai Perusahaan. *Jurnal Manajemen dan Kewirausahaan*. Vol. 9. (1). Maret: 41-48.
- Suyono, Agus. 2005. Analisis Rasio-rasio Bank yang Berpengaruh terhadap Return on Asset (ROA), *Tesis Program Pasca Sarjana Magister Manajemen Universitas Diponegoro* (tidak dipublikasikan).
- Syafri. 2012. Factors Affecting Bank Profitability in Indonesia. *The 2012 Internasional Conference Business and Management*.
- Usman, Bahtiar. 2003. Analisis Rasio Keuangan dalam Memprediksi Perubahan Laba pada Bank-Bank di Indonesia. *Media Riset & Manajemen*. Vol.3 (1)
- Werdaningtyas, Hesti. 2002. Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Profitabilitas Bank Take Over Pramenger di Indonesia. *Jurnal Manajemen Indonesia*. Vol.1 (2).
- Wijayanto, Andi dan Sutarno. 2010. Kinerja Efisiensi Fungsi Intermediasi Bank Persero Di Indonesia Dengan Menggunakan Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA). *Jurnal Keuangan dan Perbankan*. Vol. 14 (1) Januari.
- Yuliani. 2007. Hubungan Efisiensi Operasional Dengan Kinerja Profitabilitas Pada Sektor Perbankan yang Go Publik Di Bursa Efek Jakarta. *Jurnal Manajemen & Bisnis Sriwijaya Vol. 5 (10)*
- Zamil, N.A.M. & Rahman, A.R.A. 2007. Efficiency of Islamic and Conventional Banks in Malaysia: A Data

